Structured Interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale and the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: Guidelines for Their Use

J.T. LINDSAY WILSON, LAURA E.L. PETTIGREW, 1,2 and GRAHAM M. TEASDALE2

ABSTRACT

The Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) is the most widely used outcome measure after traumatic brain injury, but it is increasingly recognized to have important limitations. It is proposed that short-comings of the GOS can be addressed by adopting a standard format for the interview used to assign outcome. A set of guidelines are outlined that are directed at the main problems encountered in applying the GOS. The guidelines cover the general principles underlying the use of the GOS and common practical problems of applying the scale. Structured interview schedules are described for both the five-point GOS and an extended eight-point GOS (GOSE). An interrater reliability study of the structured interviews for the GOS and GOSE yielded weighted kappa values of 0.89 and 0.85, respectively. It is concluded that assessment of the GOS using a standard format with a written protocol is practical and reliable.

Key words: Glasgow Outcome Scale; outcome assessment

INTRODUCTION

The Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) (Jennett and Bond, 1975) has become the most widely used scale for assessing outcome after head injury and nontraumatic acute brain insults. Despite its popularity, the GOS is increasingly recognised to have important shortcomings (Anderson et al., 1993; Gouvier et al., 1986; Grant and Alves, 1987; et al., 1985; Maas et al., 1983). The aim of the present paper is to argue that many of the main criticisms may be overcome by adopting a standard, well-specified format for the interview, and by being clear about the purposes and limitations of the GOS assessment. A set of guidelines are proposed for using the GOS and the extended GOS, and information is given concerning the reliability of the structured interviews.

ADDRESSING LIMITATIONS OF THE GOS

Traditionally, outcome on the GOS has been assigned after a short interview, usually unstructured, and not involving a written protocol. This open-ended format encourages impressionistic use of the scale; the results are variable among individual assessors (Maas et al., 1983), and there is evidence of systematic bias between different professional groups (Anderson et al., 1993). The upper levels of the GOS are multidimensional, and the criteria for the upper categories are therefore ambiguous (Grant and Alves, 1987). The approach described below attempts to overcome such problems by adopting a standard format for the interview and identifying specific criteria for assigning an outcome category. The major categories of outcome used in the present structured

¹Department of Psychology, University of Stirling, Stirling, United Kingdom.

²Department of Neurosurgery, University of Glasow, Glasgow, United Kingdom.

interviews (Appendix) follow closely the descriptions of the Glasgow Outcome Scale provided by Jennett and Bond (1975), Jennett et al. (1981), and Jennett and Teasdale (1981). The questionnaires are designed to achieve greater objectivity and reliability than the traditional method of assigning an outcome category.

The GOS is sometimes interpreted as emphasizing physical rather than cognitive and emotional problems (Anderson et al., 1993). In fact, Jennett and Bond (1975) and Jennett et al. (1981) pointed out that mental change was more important than physical limitation in determining disability after head injury. However, in practice this precept is often overlooked: thus, Good Recovery may be taken to be physical independence in the absence of neurological deficits (Hütter and Gilsbach, 1993). In constructing the questionnaires, we used the aspect of social disability described by Jennett et al. (Jennett and Bond, 1975; Jennett et al., 1981; Jennett and Teasdale, 1981), including effects on social and leisure activities and disruption to family and friendships. This approach will necessarily assign fewer patients to the Good Recovery category than an interpretation restricted to physical or neurological limitations, but is more faithful to the original concept of social disability.

The GOS has also been criticized because there are no guidelines for dealing with commonly encountered problems, including the effects of extracranial injury, epilepsy, and preinjury unemployment (Anderson et al., 1993; Boake, 1996). These specific issues are discussed below, and suggestions are made for resolving the difficulties that can arise.

It is often commented that the GOS categories are broad, and the scale is therefore insensitive to subtle changes in functional status (Gouvier et al., 1986; Hall et al., 1985; Hall, 1992). Jennett et al. (1981) suggested that the GOS can be extended by dividing each of the upper three categories into "better" and "worse," but did not give criteria for making these distinctions. Several schemes for extending the GOS have been suggested (Horne and Schremitsch, 1989; Livingston and Livingston, 1985; Maas et al., 1983; Smith et al., 1979), but a general consensus has not emerged. The eight-point, extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOSE), develops the proposal of Jennett et al. (1981) by providing various criteria to subdivide the upper three categories of the scale. These criteria evolved through pilot work, and, in the final version, they are easy to apply and reliable, and give a division of the patients in each category. The questionnaires used to obtain the GOS and GOSE are identical apart from the inclusion of the additional items in the GOSE.

There are many contexts in which a more detailed assessment of specific limitations and their effects than that provided by either the GOS or GOSE is appropriate and desirable. The precise neurological, neuropsychological, emotional, and behavioral indices used will depend on the purpose of the assessment and the resources available to carry it out. An issue not fully resolved is the best choice of tests to supplement the GOS when it is adopted as a primary end point: sensible decisions require an understanding of the relationship between the GOS and other measures of impairment and disability.

GUIDELINES FOR STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS FOR THE GOS AND GOSE

Purpose of the GOS

The Glasgow Outcome Scale was developed to allocate people who have suffered acute brain damage from head injury or nontraumatic brain insults into broad outcome categories. The scale reflects disability and handicap rather than impairment; that is, it focuses on how the injury has affected functioning in major areas of life rather than on the particular deficits and symptoms caused by injury (World Health Organization, 1980). It is not intended to provide detailed information about the specific difficulties faced by individual patients, but to give a general index of overall outcome. It is of particular value in allowing the outcome of different groups of patients to be compared in a simple and easily interpreted fashion (Marshall, 1987). It has been recommended as a measure of outcome for clinical trials (Clifton et al., 1992) and has been widely adopted for this purpose.

Principle Areas Requiring Judgement

The questionnaires are designed to be used in a structured interview, and some background knowledge is necessary in order to administer the scale. Areas that may sometimes involve exercise of judgement can be summarized in four rules for applying the GOS:

1. Disability due to head injury is identified by a change from preinjury status. The scale is designed to assess changes and restrictions that have taken place as a result of head injury. Questions are included concerning preinjury status because pilot work indicated that this was a major confounding factor when determining outcome in the general head-injured population. In research samples, patients with premorbid difficulties are often excluded, and the issue of preinjury status may be less salient. The inclusion of questions concerning preinjury status makes it possible to assess preexisting disability and to make appropriate qualifications on the assessment of outcome after head injury; there are more detailed instructions under "scoring" below.

- 2. Only preinjury status and current status should be considered. The person's initial state after injury and hopes for the future are not relevant in determining outcome. "Current" status includes problems and capabilities evident over the past week or so. Some patients are more severely injured than others, and some seem to make a "remarkable" recovery considering their initial state. Nevertheless, as previously stated, a patient should not be said to have made a good recovery "considering how bad he was" (Jennett et al., 1981). Such considerations are not relevant in determining outcome, because it is the level reached that is important, and the severity of initial injury should not be taken into account. For research studies, it is recommended that the person who is assigning the GOS not be someone who has been involved in the acute care of the patient (Anderson et al., 1993). Similarly, interview at a stage when there has recently been relatively rapid improvement in the patient's state may produce an overoptimistic view, because there is an expectation of continuing recovery in the future. It is important to establish current capabilities independently of hope for future progress.
- 3. Disability must be a result of mental or physical impairment. The injury is an event that has occurred at a particular time, but not all changes that have taken place following the event will be due to the injury. Thus, if a patient is capable of performing the activity but does not do it for some reason they are not considered disabled. For example, the patient's financial circumstances may have changed, and this can produce a restriction in lifestyle. The precise question that is being asked is sometimes hypothetical: what exactly is the patient capable of even though they do not actually do it? If the answer to a question indicates that the head-injured person has some difficulty in a particular area, then it may be necessary to probe more deeply. After most of the main questions is a note amplifying the hypothetical issue that is being addressed, and there are further notes below. If necessary, the questioning should be continued to determine the answer to the hypothetical question.
- 4. Use the best source of information available. A necessary limitation of the approach is that it relies on verbal report, and much of the time the information provided will have to be taken at face value. However, it is important to remain aware of the circumstances in which information given is likely to be misleading, and the practical steps that can be taken to improve the quality of information: (a) In some cases a patient will lack insight, and whenever possible a relative or close friend of the head injured person should also be interviewed (Anderson et al., 1993; Jennett et al., 1981; McKinlay and Brooks, 1984). Patients are particularly likely to deny psychological changes, but it should be noted that there

is also some evidence that relatives who are "worriers" may overreport postiniury problems (McKinlay and Brooks, 1984). The questionnaire is worded so that it can be used either with the patient or with a caregiver or relative, and information can be recorded separately from these sources if desired. (b) Particular indices such as return to work should not be given too much weight (Jennett et al., 1981). Enquiry may reveal that special arrangements have been made by an employer to accommodate the patient or that the patient is capable but work is lacking. (c) Responses that are contradictory or inconsistent indicate the need to explore more deeply or find another informant. (d) We recommend that the complete questionnaire be normally administered, because sometimes responses to later items can indicate the need to go back and question more thoroughly on earlier points or reevaluate the significance of earlier answers. For example, occasionally, a patient will give responses that indicate that they have specific problems with shopping or travel, but subsequent questioning indicates that they have returned to work, or normal social and leisure activities. Further consideration may indicate that such a person should be considered to be moderately disabled rather than severely disabled, that is, that they are capable of activities of independence outside the home, even if they have some difficulties with them.

Other Considerations

Risk of epilepsy. A patient may be prevented from driving after head injury because there is a risk of late epilepsy, although the person has not actually had a seizure. The restriction on driving may interfere with return to previous employment and other aspects of return to normal life even when the patient has otherwise made a complete recovery. We suggest that in these cases the restriction should be ignored for the purposes of determining an overall score on the GOS/GOSE. On the other hand, if the patient has actually suffered a seizure, then restrictions imposed by the risk of epilepsy should be taken into account.

Effect of head injury versus effects of other injuries or illness. Although the scale is directed at the effect of brain injury, it does not itself distinguish changes due to injury to the brain from disability caused by injury to other parts of the body. Some patients with multiple injuries may have lost functioning due to injuries to the limbs. Depending on the purposes for which the scale is used, it may be important at the time of interview to distinguish any such effects from those caused by brain injury. Anderson et al. (1993) found that general practitioners may assign GOS score on the basis of physical disability independent of head injury. It is usually relatively easy to

discount any minor effects of injury to other parts of the body. However, in some cases when such injuries are severe, for example, major spinal injury, it will be difficult to assign a GOS that reflects only the effects of head injury. This should be noted appropriately when reporting the GOS.

Age Range

The GOS has customarily been used with both adults and children. However, the reliability of the GOS applied to children is unknown; in the case of very young children, the GOS criteria appear to be largely inapplicable. The current approach is designed for use with people aged 16 years and upwards.

Timing of Assessment Post-injury

The scale is intended for use after discharge from hospital, and, in particular, moderate disability and good recovery are not assessable until after discharge. Reports should always include the timing of assessment.

Assigning an Outcome Category

The GOS and GOSE are simple hierarchical scales in which the patient's overall rating is based on the lowest outcome category indicated. Outcome categories are given in brackets on the right side of the questionnaires.

Severe disability. Obtain answers to all the main questions concerning independence and the questions concerning preinjury problems in these areas (Q2–Q4). If the patient was fully independent before the injury, and the answers to one or more of the dependence questions indicate that this is no longer the case, then they are Severely Disabled (SD).

Moderate disability. Obtain answers to all the main questions concerning disability, and the questions concerning preinjury problems (Q5-Q7). If the patient had no prior problems and the answers to one or more of the questions concerning current difficulties indicate that this is no longer the case, then they are Moderately Disabled (MD). If the patient had prior difficulty in one or two of the areas, then they can usually be rated on the basis of the answers to the remaining questions. Sometimes a patient will have had prior problems, but these have become markedly worse as a result of injury, and this change can be used in rating. If the person was unemployed and not seeking work before the injury, then they should be rated on the answers given to questions 6 and 7. For example, if the person is long-term unemployed or retired, then they should be rated on social and leisure activities and personal relationships. Question 6c is included because people may have a very restricted preinjury social repertoire (for example, the chronically ill or people who are socially isolated), and it may not be sensible to rate them on this question. In general, it is not uncommon for people to have preinjury difficulties in one or two of these areas, and it will usually be possible to determine an outcome on the basis of the other questions.

Good recovery. If the patient does not fulfill the criteria for any of the lower outcome categories, then they are considered to be a Good Recovery. Note that the "Good Recovery" category includes people with minor disability. On the GOSE, patients with minor disability are assigned to the lower band of Good Recovery, and those without any head injury related disability to the upper band.

Preinjury disability. There are some cases that are problematic because of the presence of very significant preinjury problems and severe preinjury dependency. Such cases will be excluded from studies aimed at researching the nature of the effects of injury on the brain but must be included in comparisons of clinical cohorts managed in different ways. It is therefore important to be able to give a rating to everyone if necessary. The approach suggested here is to rate such people on their current functional status and to indicate the existence of preinjury disability by putting a "*" beside the rating. These ratings can then be interpreted as meaning "still disabled at this level" or "disability no worse than this level" and dealt with appropriately in analysis. The circumstance in which we specifically suggest that cases are treated in this way is as follows. If the patient was not fully independent before injury, then they should be rated Severely Disabiled* (SD*) (or upper or lower SD* on the GOSE depending on the degree of preinjury disability). Depending on the purpose of the study, this approach could be extended by collecting more detailed information concerning the nature and level of preinjury disability.

In addition to the overall rating, the form gives a permanent record of current problem areas and prior limitations. This information serves as a source for audit of the data and can also be coded and used in analysis of outcome. The responses can be recorded as numerals in the boxes to aid computer coding (it is not intended that these digits should be added up). It should be borne in mind that responses to individual items may have lower reliability than the overall rating.

Definition of terms and notes to individual questions are given in the Appendix. The information given is deliberately detailed to allow the scales to be used by the nonspecialist.

Reliability

Patients. Fifty patients (eight female) were recruited from head injury admissions to the regional neurosurgi-

STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS FOR THE GOS AND GOSE

TABLE 1. DISTRIBUTIONS OF GOS RATINGS MADE BY A PSYCHOLOGIST AND RESEARCH NURSE FOR 50 HEAD-INJURED PATIENTS

| Nurse Psychologist | Severe disability | Moderate disability | Good recovery | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----|
| Severe disability | 18 | 0 | 0 | 36% |
| Moderate disability | 1 | 11 | 0 | 24% |
| Good recovery | 1 40% | 2 26% | <u>17</u> 34% | 40% |

cal unit. The patients were aged 18-76 years of age at the time of injury (mean = 39.4; SD = 16.5). Classification of severity of injury by worst recorded GCS indicated that 30% were severely injured (GCS 3-8), 14% had moderate injuries (GCS 9-12), and 56% were mild (GCS 13-15). The study was restricted to conscious survivors.

Procedure. Patients were interviewed 5-17 months postinjury (mean = 10.2 months; SD = 3.9). In 36 cases, the patient was interviewed alone, and in 14 the patient was seen together with a caregiver, relative, or friend. The outcome category was independently assigned by a research psychologist and either one of two research nurses. Interviews were carried out face to face on the same day. Raters carried out a structured interview using the GOSE questionnaire and used the information to assign outcomes on both eight-point and five-point scales.

Results. Preinjury limitations were reported in the following areas (number of cases in brackets): independence in home (1); shopping (1); work (17); social and leisure activities (2); family and friendships (6); other complaints (4). Two cases were rated as upper SD*, and these were treated as upper SD in the analysis. Distributions of ratings for the GOS and GOSE are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Overall agreement between raters was 92% for the GOS and 78% for the GOSE. As can be seen from Table

1, there were four cases in which there was disagreement between raters on the GOS, and in one case there was a disagreement of two categories. Review of these cases indicated that in three instances the respondent had given different information to the interviewers, and in one case the interviewer had misinterpreted a question. The patient with the largest disagreement had a history of alcoholism and was suffering from a wasting disease of the spine; he told one interviewer that he needed assistance for daily activities and did not like being away from home; however, he told the second interviewer that he went out six or seven times per week. The weighted kappa statistic was computed for observations between raters; this statistic takes into account the seriousness of disagreement between raters (Brennan & Silman, 1992). For the five-point scale κ_w was 0.89 and for the eight-point scale $\kappa_{\rm w}$ was 0.85.

CONCLUSION

The proposed structured interviews achieve a systematic subdivision of patients into outcome categories and have satisfactory interrater reliability. The kappa values for both the GOS and GOSE are regarded as "very good" (Brennan and Silman, 1992). Overall levels of interrater agreement in the present study compare favorably with previous reports (Anderson et al., 1993; Jennett et al., 1981; Maas et al., 1983): for example, Maas et al. (1983) report kappa values of 0.77 for the five-point scale and 0.48 for the eight-point scale in a "live" situation. Improved reliability does not completely eliminate limitations such as the use of broad social roles to define outcome categories, the reliance on verbal report, and the need for the exercise of some judgement by the interviewer. Nevertheless, the advantages of the GOS remain its simplicity, wide recognition, and the fact that differ-

TABLE 2. DISTRIBUTIONS OF GOS RATINGS MADE BY A PSYCHOLOGIST AND RESEARCH NURSE FOR 50 HEAD-INJURED PATIENTS

| Nurse | Severe d | lisability | Moderate | disability | Good r | recovery | |
|---------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------|
| Psychologist | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | |
| Severe disability | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Lower | <u>8</u> | | | | | | 16% |
| Upper | 3 | 7 | | | | | 20% |
| Moderate disability | | | | | | | |
| Lower | | 1 | 7 | 1 | | | 18% |
| Upper | | | _ | 3 | | | 6% |
| Good recovery | | | | - | | | 0.0 |
| Lower | | 1 | 2 | | 9 | 2 | 28% |
| Upper | | _ | _ | | ī | 5 | 12% |
| - F F | 22% | 18% | 18% | 8% | 20% | 14% | 1270 |

ences in disability are clinically meaningful. Provided that the purpose and limits, as well as the benefits, of the GOS are appreciated, it can continue to have a central place in the assessment of head injury outcome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Development of the questionnaires was supported by a project grant from the Chief Scientist Office, Scottish Home and Health Department. We would like to thank in particular Prof. B. Jennett and Sir Michael Bond, and the following colleagues for their help in developing the structured interviews and for commenting on earlier versions of the questionnaire: S. Anderson, Dr. M Dearden, A. C. Dobson, H. Fiddes, S. Glen, D. Johnston, Prof. F. Lapierre, Prof. H. S. Levin, Dr. A. Maas, Prof. L. Marshall, D. McLeod, Dr. W. W. McKinlay, Prof. Dr. L. Persson, Dr. J. Ponsford, C. Scott, Dr. N. Stocchetti, Dr. D. T. Stuss, S. Swaitek, C. Symington, S. Thornhill, Prof. T. Trojanowski, and Dr. D. T. Wade.

REFERENCES

- ANDERSON, S.I., HOUSLEY, A.M., JONES, P.A., SLAT-TERY, J., and MILLER, J.D. (1993). Glasgow Outcome Scale: an inter-rater reliability study. Brain Inj. 7, 309–317.
- BOAKE, C. (1996). Supervision Rating Scale: a measure of functional outcome from brain injury. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. **77**, 765–772.
- BRENNAN, P., and SILMAN, A. (1992). Statistical methods for assessing observer variability in clinical measures. BMJ **304**, 1491–1494.
- CLIFTON, G.L., HAYES, R.L., LEVIN, H.S., MICHEL, M.E., and CHOI, S.C. (1992). Outcome measures for clinical trials involving traumatically brain-injured patients: report of a conference. Neurosurgery 31, 975–978.
- GOUVIER, W.D., BLANTON, P.D., and KITTLE, K.S. (1986). Reliability and validity of the Expanded Glasgow Outcome Scale and the Stover-Zieger Scale. Int. J. Clin. Neuropsychol. 8, 1–2.
- GRANT, I., and ALVES, W. (1987). Psychiatric and psychosocial disturbances in head injury, in: *Neurobehavioral Recovery From Head Injury*. H.S. Levin, J. Grafman, and H.M. Eisenberg (eds), Oxford University Press: Oxford, pps. 57–72.
- HALL, K., COPE, D.N., and RAPPAPORT, M. (1985). Glasgow Outcome Scale and Disability Rating Scale: compara-

- tive usefulness in following recovery in traumatic head injury. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. **66**, 35–37.
- HALL, K.M. (1992). Overview of functional assessment scales in brain injury rehabilitation. NeuroRehabilitation 2, 98–113.
- HORNE, G., and SCHREMITSCH, E. (1989). Assessment of the survivors of major trauma accidents. Aust. N.Z.J. Surg. **59**, 465–470.
- HÜTTER, B.-O., and GILSBACH, J.-M. (1993). Which neuropsychological deficits are hidden behind a good outcome (Glasgow = 1) after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage? Neurosurgery 33, 999–1006.
- JENNETT, B., and BOND, M. (1975). Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. A practical scale. Lancet 1, 480–484.
- JENNETT, B., SNOEK, J., BOND, M.R., and BROOKS, N. (1981). Disability after severe head injury: observations on the use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 44, 285–293.
- JENNETT, B., and TEASDALE, G. (1981). Management of Head Injuries. F.A. Davis: Philadelphia.
- LIVINGSTON, M.G., and LIVINGSTON, H.G. (1985). The Glasgow Assessment Schedule: clinical and research assessment of head injury outcome. Int. Rehabil. Med. 7, 145–149.
- MAAS, A.I.R., BRAAKMAN, R., SCHOUTEN, H.J.A., MIN-DERHOUD, J.M., and VAN ZOMEREN, A.H. (1983). Agreement between physicians on assessment of outcome following severe head injury. J. Neurosurg. 58, 321-325.
- MARSHALL, L.F. (1987). Neurobehavioral outcome: the neurosurgeon's perspective, in: *Neurobehavioral Recovery from Head Injury*. H.S. Levin, J. Grafman, and H.M. Eisenberg (eds), Oxford University Press: Oxford, pps. 206–212.
- McKINLAY, W.W., and BROOKS, D.N. (1984). Methodological problems in assessing psychosocial recovery following severe head injury. J. Clin. Neuropsychol. 6, 87–99.
- SMITH, R.M., FIELDS, F.R.J., LENOX, J.L., MORRIS, H.O., and NOLAN, J.J. (1979). A functional scale of recovery from severe head trauma. Clin. Neuropsychol. 1, 48–50.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (1980). International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps. WHO: Geneva.

Address reprint requests to:
J.T.L. Wilson, Ph.D.
Department of Psychology
University of Stirling
Stirling FK94LA
United Kingdom

APPENDIX: Notes to Questions and Definition of Terms

Q1. Vegetative State

The definition of the vegetative state given in Q1 follows that given by Jennett et al. (1981). The Royal College of Physicians have published guidelines for deciding whether a patient is in a persistent vegetative state, and the simple approach suggested here is not intended to replace these guidelines in the management of the individual patient. If the patient is unable to obey commands or say words for some other reason, for example, because they are severely demented, then they are not in the vegetative state. "Any words" includes repetition of a simple word such as "No." A person able to communicate using a code would no longer be in the vegetative state.

Q2. Independence in the Home

Q2a. Dependency may be caused by physical impairment, but it is also often due to mental changes. People may require actual assistance with activities of daily living, they may need prompted or reminded to do things, or they may need someone with them to supervise them because they would be unsafe otherwise. In all these cases, they are dependent. However, many people receive assistance, but do not absolutely depend on it. This care or protection that is given by others should be distinguished from dependency: the person may well benefit from this help and may well have a real need for it, but such care does not mean that they are dependent in the sense required here.

A difficulty may arise if an activity was not normally carried out before the injury. For example, many men have little practical involvement in domestic matters and quite often will not usually prepare meals for themselves. In this case, it is sufficient that the person could, if the necessity arose, prepare food, even if this would be in a simple fashion.

Examples of minor domestic crises: what you do if ... a glass gets dropped and broken, a tap is left running, a light goes out, it begins to get cold, a stranger comes to the door, ... The person should be able to use the telephone to report problems or summon help.

Q2b (GOSE only). The patient is considered to be in the lower category of severe disability if they cannot be left alone for 8 h. This limit implies that a relative who is caring for them cannot work. If it is necessary to establish a time limit, it can be helpful to ask "what is the maximum amount of time they can be left alone?"

Q3. Shopping and Q4. Travel: Independence Outside the Home

Independence outside the home requires ability to plan, to take care of money, and behave appropriately in public. It must be established if the person is actually capable of carrying out these activities, rather than whether they do or not.

Q5. Work

Work is only used as an indicator of outcome if the person was working or actively seeking work before the injury, or if they were studying.

Q5a. "Work" refers to jobs that are paid at a reasonable rate and which, in principle at least, are open to others. "Reduced capacity for work"—Any of the following indicate reduced capacity for work: (a) change in level of skill or responsibility required; (b) change from full-time to part-time working; (c) special allowances made by employer (e.g., increased supervision at work); and (d) change from steady to casual employment (i.e., no longer able to hold steady job).

Note that sometimes change in employment status may be unrelated to head injury, e.g., due to end of contract, retirement, or redundancy. Such changes do not indicate a reduced capacity for work.

Students Q5a. If the person was a student before injury, then "study" can be substituted for "work." Students should be able to return to their previous course and not have noted adverse effects on their ability to study. If someone has been absent from college because of injury, then there may be some disruption caused by the absence itself, and this needs to be discounted when considering if the person has problems due to the head injury. Examples of problems which indicate reduced capacity for study: (a) increased difficulties in studying (e.g., needing to spend much more time than before); (b) unaccustomed problems with progress (e.g., failing examinations); and (c) revised program of study because of problems (e.g., studying for a lesser qualification).

Q5b (GOSE only). "Noncompetitive work" includes work done voluntarily, jobs that are specifically designated for disabled people, and work in sheltered workshops. Normally, ability to work is indicative of independence; however, occasionally, someone in the upper severe disability range may be working in a sheltered workshop.

Students, Q5b. (a) If the student has a reduced capacity for study but is still studying, then they are Upper Moderate disability; and (b) if the student is currently unable to study, then they are Lower Moderate disability.

06. Social and Leisure Activities

Social and leisure activities will vary depending on the age and background of the patient. Representative social and leisure activities reported by patients in Glasgow include the following: (a) participating in sport, e.g., football, swimming etc., (b) attending sporting events as a spectator, (c) going walking, (d) going to a club or pub, and (e) visiting friends.

Some leisure activities are seasonal, and one must be careful to exclude changes in activity that are simply due to this factor.

Typical problems that may interfere with social and leisure activities: lack of motivation or initiative, avoidance of social involvement, physical problems such as loss of mobility, cognitive problems such as poor concentration, and problems such as poor temper control or impatience.

Q6b. Extent of restriction. If it is necessary to question in detail, then ask the person how often they participated in social and leisure activities outside the home before the injury (i.e., how many occasions per week) and how often they participate now.

Measuring extent of participation is in terms of occasions per week emphasizes a quantifiable aspect of social and leisure activities. Sometimes, quality of participation is affected by the head injury; for example, the person may become a spectator in a sport rather than an active participant. However, changes such as this are very difficult to quantify and can reflect the specially demanding nature of some sports. Thus, for the sake of simplicity, it is the fact of participation that is rated in the interview. Experience suggests that the main effect of

head injury on social and leisure activities tends to be withdrawal from activities that involve social interaction: the simple approach adopted here is sensitive to such changes.

Q6c. Participating regularly in social and leisure activities means participating in at least one activity outside the home each week.

Q7. Family and Friendships

The question is specifically aimed at alterations in relationships as a result of head injury. The presence of a reported change in personality is not of itself sufficient to warrant classifying the person as moderately disabled—the change must be having an adverse impact on family and friendships.

Q7b. Extent of disruption or strain. The following definitions apply: (a) Occasional—Some problems since injury, but less than once a week and not causing continuous strain. For example, occasional bad temper, but things blow over. (b) Frequent—Problems at least weekly, strain on relationships, but regarded as tolerable. For example, temper outbursts at least once a week resulting in modification of closeness of relationships. (c) Constant daily problems—Breakdown or threatened breakdown of relationship within family or friendship; problems regarded as intolerable. If a family have become very withdrawn and socially isolated as a result of injury, then this also represents constant disruption.

Q8 (GOSE Only). Return to Normal Life

Q8a. The list of problems here includes those described as the postconcussion syndrome. The problems are impairments; in order to cause disability, they must impinge on functioning in everyday life. Similar problems are reported in the general population: it is thus important to establish that the problems have developed since injury.

STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS FOR THE GOS AND GOSE

Glasgow Outcome Scale

| Patient's name: | | Date of interview: |
|--|--|---|
| Date of Birth: | Date of injury | Gender: M/F |
| Age at injury: | Interval post-injury: | |
| Respondent: Patient alone | Relative/ friend/ carer alone | Patient + relative/ friend/ carer |
| Interviewer: | | |
| | | |
| CONSCIOUSNESS | | |
| 1. ls the head injured person al words? | ble to obey simple commands, or say any | 1 = No (VS) 2 = Yes |
| Anyone who shows ability to obey | even simple commands, or utter any word | d or communicate specifically in any other way is no |
| longer considered to be in the vege | | le evidence of meaningful responsiveness. Corroborate |
| | | |
| INDEPENDENCE IN THE | HOME | |
| 2a ls the assistance of another pactivities of daily living? | person at home essential every day for sor | 1 = No $2 = Yes (SD)$ |
| look after themselves. Independent on clean clothes without prompting | te includes the ability to plan for and carry g, preparing food for themselves, dealing v | 4 hours if necessary, though they need not actually out the following activities: getting washed, putting with callers, and handling minor domestic crises. The eminding, and should be capable of being left alone |
| | | |
| 2c Was assistance at home esse | ntial before the injury? | |
| INDEPENDENCE OUTSIE | DE THE HOME | |
| 3a Are they able to shop without | ut assistance? | 1 = No (SD) 2 = Yes |
| This includes being able to plan whormally shop, but must be able to | | , and behave appropriately in public. They need not |
| 3b Were they able to shop with | out assistance before the injury? | |
| 4a Are they able to travel local | ly without assistance? | 1 = No (SD) 2 = Yes |
| They may drive or use public trans themselves and instruct the driver. | port to get around. Ability to use a taxi is | sufficient, provided the person can phone for it |
| 74b 💥 Were in y able to travel wit | from assistance before the injury? | 1=N ₀ ≈ 1=N ₀ 2= 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = |

WILSON ET AL.

| | WORK | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 5a | Are they currently able to work to their previous capacity? | | | I = No (MD) 2 = Yes (GR) |
| the inj | were working before, then their current capacity for work shoul jury should not have adversely affected their chances of obtaining twas a student before injury then their capacity for study should | g work or the leve | el of work f | for which they are eligible. If the |
| 5c≟. | Were they either working or seeking employment before the inj (answer eyes) or were they doing neither (answer 'no')? | wy . | 2 ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± | $1 = N_0$ $2 = Yes = 1$ |
| | SOCIAL & LEISURE ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 6a | Are they able to resume regular social and leisure activities outs | side home? | | 1 = No - Go to 6b 2 = Yes (GR) |
| They they h | need not have resumed all their previous leisure activities, but she have stopped the majority of activities because of loss of interest of | ould not be preve or motivation ther | nted by phy | ysical or mental impairment. If o considered a disability. |
| 6b | What is the extent of restriction on their social and leisure active a) Participate a bit less: at least half as often as before injury. b) Participate much less or unable to participate | ities? | | 1 = a (GR) 2 = b (MD) |
| 6c | Did they engage in regular social and leisure activities outside before the injury? | home | | 1=No. |
| | FAMILY & FRIENDSHIPS | | | |
| 7a | Have there been psychological problems which have resulted in family disruption or disruption to friendships? | ongoing | | 1 = No (GR) 2 = Yes - Go to 7b |
| | al post-traumatic personality changes: quick temper, irritability, a sonable or childish behaviour. | anxiety, insensitiv | vity to other | rs, mood swings, depression, and |
| 7b | What has been the extent of disruption or strain? a) Occasional - less than weekly b) Frequent or constant - once a week or more | | | I = a (GR) 2 = b (MD) |
| 7c | Were there problems with family or friends before the injury? | kedly worse sinc | e mjuryethe | l = No where $l = 12$ and l |
| Epileps Since the Have the | sy: he injury has the head injured person had any epileptic fits? hey been told that they are currently at risk of developing epileps | No / Y y? No / Y | | |
| | s the most important factor in outcome? s of head injury Effects of illness or injury to another part of | of the body | A mixture | of these |
| | g: The patient's overall rating is based on the lowest outcome car ther information concerning administration and scoring. | tegory indicated o | on the scale | e. Refer to Guidelines |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | Dead Vegetative State (VS) Severe Disability (SD) Moderate Disability (MD) Good Recovery (GR) | ⊃ Lindsav Wilson | ı. Laura Pei | ttigrew, Graham Teasdale 1998 |

Glasgow Outcome Scale - Extended

| Patie | nt's name: | | Date of interview: |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| Date | of Birth: | Date of injury | Gender: M/F |
| Age a | at injury: | Interval post-injury: | |
| Resp | ondent: Patient alone | Relative/ friend/ carer alone | Patient + relative/ friend/ carer |
| Inter | viewer: | | |
| | | | |
| | CONSCIOUSNESS | | |
| 1. | Is the head injured person a words? | ble to obey simple commands, or say any | $ \begin{array}{ccc} & 1 = \text{No (VS)} \\ & 2 = \text{Yes} \end{array} $ |
| longe | er considered to be in the vege | | ord or communicate specifically in any other way is no able evidence of meaningful responsiveness. Corroborate oyal College of Physician Guidelines. |
| | INDEPENDENCE IN THE | HOME | |
| | | | |
| 2a | Is the assistance of another activities of daily living? | person at home essential every day for so | ome $1 = No$ $2 = Yes$ |
| look on cl perso | after themselves. Independent ean clothes without prompting | ce includes the ability to plan for and carr g, preparing food for themselves, dealing | 24 hours if necessary, though they need not actually ry out the following activities: getting washed, putting g with callers, and handling minor domestic crises. The reminding, and should be capable of being left alone |
| 2b | Do they need frequent help time? | or someone to be around at home most o | of the 1 = No (Upper SD) 2 = Yes (Lower SD) |
| | a 'No' answer they should be a not actually look after themse | | up to 8 hours during the day if necessary, though they |
| 2¢ | Was assistance at home ess | ential before the injury? | $1 = No \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{$ |
| | INDEPENDENCE OUTSI | DE THE HOME | |
| 3a | Are they able to shop without | out assistance? | 1 = No (Upper SD) 2 = Yes |
| | includes being able to plan winally shop, but must be able to | | es, and behave appropriately in public. They need not |
| 715 | - Were they able to shop will | mutassistance pëforedhe mury? | 11-No |
| 4a | Are they able to travel loca | lly without assistance? | 1 = No (Upper SD) 2 = Yes |
| | y may drive or use public trans uselves and instruct the driver. | | is sufficient, provided the person can phone for it |
| 116 | Were they able to travel wi | litourussistance before the intiry? | 1 = No. 1 = No. 1 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = 2 = |

WILSON ET AL.

| | WORK | | |
|---------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| 5a | Are they currently able to work to their previous capacity? | | 1 = No 2 = Yes |
| the inj | were working before, then their current capacity for work should be at the same ury should not have adversely affected their chances of obtaining work or the lev twas a student before injury then their capacity for study should not have been a | el of work | for which they are eligible. If the |
| 5b | How restricted are they? a) Reduced work capacity. b) Able to work only in a sheltered workshop or non-competitive job, or currently unable to work. | | I = a (Upper MD) 2 = b (Lower MD) |
| 5c - ∘ | Were they either working or seeking employment before the injury (answer 'yes') or were they doing neither (answer 'no')? | | 1 = No 2 = Yes |
| | SOCIAL & LEISURE ACTIVITIES | | |
| 6a | Are they able to resume regular social and leisure activities outside home? | | 1 = No 2 = Yes |
| They i | need not have resumed all their previous leisure activities, but should not be preve ave stopped the majority of activities because of loss of interest or motivation the | ented by ph on this is als | ysical or mental impairment. If o considered a disability. |
| 6b | What is the extent of restriction on their social and leisure activities?a) Participate a bit less: at least half as often as before injury.b) Participate much less: less than half as often.c) Unable to participate: rarely, if ever, take part. | | 1 = a (Lower GR) 2 = b (Upper MD) 3 = c (Lower MD) |
| 6c | Did they engage in regular social and feisure activities outside home before the injury? | | $1 = N_0$ $2 = Yes$ $1 = N_0$ |
| } | FAMILY & FRIENDSHIPS | | |
| 7a | Have there been psychological problems which have resulted in ongoing family disruption or disruption to friendships? | | 1 = No 2 = Yes |
| | al post-traumatic personality changes: quick temper, irritability, anxiety, insensitionable or childish behaviour. | vity to othe | ers, mood swings, depression, and |
| 7b | What has been the extent of disruption or strain? a) Occasional - less than weekly b) Frequent - once a week or more, but tolerable. c) Constant - daily and intolerable. | | l = a (Lower GR) 2 = b (Upper MD) 3 = c (Lower MD) |
| | Were there problems with family or friends before the injury? e were some problems before injury, but these have become markedly worsers in | se injury th | 1. ≓ No. 2 ≒ Yes en answer No. to € 76. |
| | RETURN TO NORMAL LIFE | - | |
| 8a | Are there any other current problems relating to the injury which affect daily life? | | 1 = No (Upper GR) 2 = Yes (Lower GR) |
| | typical problems reported after head injury: headaches, dizziness, tiredness, senses, and concentration problems. | sitivity to n | oise or light, slowness, memory |
| 200 | Were similar problems present before the injury? | i injusy ili | At No. 2012 7 Yes 15 en answer No. to Osb 2013 |

STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS FOR THE GOS AND GOSE

| | lepsy: | |
|------|--|----------------------------------|
| Sinc | ce the injury has the head injured person had any epileptic fits? | No / Yes |
| Hav | ve they been told that they are currently at risk of developing epilepsy? | No / Yes |
| Wh | at is the most important factor in outcome? | |
| Effe | ects of head injury Effects of illness or injury to another part of the | body A mixture of these |
| | ring: The patient's overall rating is based on the lowest outcome category delines for further information concerning administration and scoring | indicated on the scale. Refer to |
| 1 | Dead | |
| 2 | Vegetative State (VS) | |
| 3 | I aman Caman Disability (I aman CD) | |
| J | Lower Severe Disability (Lower SD) | |
| 4 | Upper Severe Disability (Lower SD) | |
| | , , | |
| 4 | Upper Severe Disability (Upper SD) | |
| 4 5 | Upper Severe Disability (Upper SD) Lower Moderate Disability (Lower MD) | |

This article has been cited by:

- 1. M. Løvstad, I. Funderud, T. Meling, U.M. Krämer, B. Voytek, P. Due-Tønnessen, T. Endestad, M. Lindgren, R.T. Knight, A.K. Solbakk. 2012. Anterior cingulate cortex and cognitive control: Neuropsychological and electrophysiological findings in two patients with lesions to dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. *Brain and Cognition* 80:2, 237-249. [CrossRef]
- G. Franschman, N. Verburg, V. Brens-Heldens, T.M.J.C. Andriessen, J. Van der Naalt, S.M. Peerdeman, J.P. Valk, N. Hoogerwerf, S. Greuters, P. Schober, P.E. Vos, H.M.T. Christiaans, C. Boer. 2012. Effects of physician-based emergency medical service dispatch in severe traumatic brain injury on prehospital run time. *Injury* 43:11, 1838-1842.
 [CrossRef]
- 3. A. Ardolino, G. Sleat, K. Willett. 2012. Outcome measurements in major trauma—Results of a consensus meeting. *Injury* **43**:10, 1662-1666. [CrossRef]
- 4. C. Jourdan, E. Darnoux, V. Bosserelle, S. Azerad, E. Bayen, P. Pradat-diehl, J.J. Weiss, P. Aegerter, P. Azouvi. 2012. Longitudinal follow-up of severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients: What are the evolution patterns between one and four years post-TBI?. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 55, e189. [CrossRef]
- C. Jourdan, E. Darnoux, V. Bosserelle, S. Azerad, E. Bayen, P. Pradat-diehl, J.J. Weiss, P. Aegerter, P. Azouvi. 2012. Suivi d'une cohorte de patients traumatisés crâniens sévères (TCS): quelle évolution entre 1 et 4 ans post-TC?. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine 55, e186-e187. [CrossRef]
- 6. Yinn Cher Ooi, T. Forcht Dagi, Mitchell Maltenfort, Fred Rincon, Matthew Vibbert, Pascal Jabbour, L. Fernando Gonzalez, Robert Rosenwasser, Jack Jallo. 2012. Tight Glycemic Control Reduces Infection and Improves Neurological Outcome in Critically Ill Neurosurgical and Neurological Patients. *Neurosurgery* 71:3, 692-702. [CrossRef]
- 7. Poongundran Namachivayam, Anna Taylor, Terence Montague, Karen Moran, Joanne Barrie, Carmel Delzoppo, Warwick Butt. 2012. Long-stay children in intensive care. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine* 13:5, 520-528. [CrossRef]
- 8. Magnus Olivecrona, Lars-Owe D. Koskinen. 2012. The IMPACT prognosis calculator used in patients with severe traumatic brain injury treated with an ICP-targeted therapy. *Acta Neurochirurgica* **154**:9, 1567-1573. [CrossRef]
- Laura Pielmaier, Anne Milek, Fridtjof W. Nussbeck, Bernhard Walder, Andreas Maercker. 2012. Trajectories of Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms in Significant Others of Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Loss and Trauma* 120817081132000. [CrossRef]
- Donald J. Fogelberg, Jeanne M. Hoffman, Sureyya Dikmen, Nancy R. Temkin, Kathleen R. Bell. 2012. Association
 of Sleep and Co-Occurring Psychological Conditions at 1 Year After Traumatic Brain Injury. Archives of Physical
 Medicine and Rehabilitation 93:8, 1313-1318. [CrossRef]
- 11. Michael DeCuypere, Paul Klimo. 2012. Spectrum of Traumatic Brain Injury from Mild to Severe. *Surgical Clinics of North America* **92**:4, 939-957. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hidetoshi Matsukawa, Masaki Shinoda, Motoharu Fujii, Osamu Takahashi, Atsushi Murakata, Daisuke Yamamoto, Sosuke Sumiyoshi, Ryoichi Ishikawa. 2012. Intraventricular hemorrhage on computed tomography and corpus callosum injury on magnetic resonance imaging in patients with isolated blunt traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 117:2, 334-339. [CrossRef]
- 13. Young Zoon Kim, Yong Hwan Park, Yun Gyu Song, Kyu Hong Kim. 2012. Clinical analysis of factors predisposing the recurrence of primary intracerebral hemorrhage in patients taking anti-hypertensive drugs: A prospective cohort study. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ana Arenivas, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, Jeffrey Spence, C. Munro Cullum, Kamini Krishnan, Christopher Bosworth, Carlee Culver, Beth Kennard, Carlos Marquez de la Plata. 2012. Three approaches to investigating functional compromise to the default mode network after traumatic axonal injury. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*. [CrossRef]
- 15. Nancy Carney, Silvia Lujan, Sureyya Dikmen, Nancy Temkin, Gustavo Petroni, Jim Pridgeon, Jason Barber, Joan Machamer, Mariana Cherner, Kelley Chaddock, Terence Hendrix, Carlos Rondina, Walter Videtta, Juanita M. Celix, Randall Chesnut. 2012. Intracranial Pressure Monitoring in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Latin America: Process and Methods for a Multi-Center Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:11, 2022-2029. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]

- 16. Qiang Yuan, Hua Liu, Yang Xu, Xing Wu, Yirui Sun, Jin Hu. 2012. Continuous Measurement of the Cumulative Amplitude and Duration of Hyperglycemia Best Predicts Outcome After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Neurocritical Care*. [CrossRef]
- 17. Stefania Mondello, Andrea Gabrielli, Sheila Catani, Mariagrazia D'Ippolito, Andreas Jeromin, Antonio Ciaramella, Paola Bossù, Kara Schmid, Frank Tortella, Kevin K. W. Wang, Ronald L. Hayes, Rita Formisano. 2012. Increased levels of serum MAP-2 at 6-months correlate with improved outcome in survivors of severe traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury* 1-7. [CrossRef]
- 18. Benedict B.T. Taw, Alan C.S. Lam, Faith L.Y. Ho, K.N. Hung, W.M. Lui, Gilberto K.K. Leung. 2012. Functional survival after acute care for severe head injury at a designated trauma center in Hong Kong. *Asian Journal of Surgery* 35:3, 117-122. [CrossRef]
- 19. Bram H. J. Geurts, Teuntje M. J. C. Andriessen, Bozena M. Goraj, Pieter E. Vos. 2012. The reliability of magnetic resonance imaging in traumatic brain injury lesion detection. *Brain Injury* 1-12. [CrossRef]
- 20. M. Løvstad, I. Funderud, T. Endestad, P. Due-Tønnessen, T. R. Meling, M. Lindgren, R. T. Knight, A. K. Solbakk. 2012. Executive functions after orbital or lateral prefrontal lesions: Neuropsychological profiles and self-reported executive functions in everyday living. *Brain Injury* 1-13. [CrossRef]
- 21. David E. Ross, Alfred L. Ochs, Jan M. Seabaugh, Michael F. DeMark, Carole R. Shrader, Jennifer H. Marwitz, Michael D. Havranek. 2012. Progressive brain atrophy in patients with chronic neuropsychiatric symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury: A preliminary study. *Brain Injury* 1-10. [CrossRef]
- 22. Daniel K. Nishijima, Shahriar Zehtabchi, Jeanette Berrong, Eric Legome. 2012. Utility of platelet transfusion in adult patients with traumatic intracranial hemorrhage and preinjury antiplatelet use. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* 72:6, 1658-1663. [CrossRef]
- 23. Joshua Betz, Jiachen Zhuo, Anindya Roy, Kathirkamanthan Shanmuganathan, Rao P. Gullapalli. 2012. Prognostic Value of Diffusion Tensor Imaging Parameters in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:7, 1292-1305. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 24. Jennifer Kosty, Luke Macyszyn, Kevin Lai, James McCroskery, Hae-Ran Park, Sherman C. Stein. 2012. Relating Quality of Life to Glasgow Outcome Scale Health States. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:7, 1322-1327. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 25. Bob Roozenbeek, Hester F. Lingsma, Fiona E. Lecky, Juan Lu, James Weir, Isabella Butcher, Gillian S. McHugh, Gordon D. Murray, Pablo Perel, Andrew I. Maas, Ewout W. Steyerberg. 2012. Prediction of outcome after moderate and severe traumatic brain injury. *Critical Care Medicine* 40:5, 1609-1617. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lukas Bobinski, Magnus Olivecrona, Lars-Owe D. Koskinen. 2012. Dynamics of brain tissue changes induced by traumatic brain injury assessed with the Marshall, Morris–Marshall, and the Rotterdam classifications and its impact on outcome in a prostacyclin placebo-controlled study. *Acta Neurochirurgica*. [CrossRef]
- 27. Tim Howells, Anders Lewén, Mattias K. Sköld, Elisabeth Ronne-Engström, Per Enblad. 2012. An evaluation of three measures of intracranial compliance in traumatic brain injury patients. *Intensive Care Medicine*. [CrossRef]
- 28. Nino Stocchetti, Rosalia Paternò, Giuseppe Citerio, Luigi Beretta, Angelo Colombo. 2012. Traumatic Brain Injury in an Aging Population. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:6, 1119-1125. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 29. Deborah M. Stein, Allison L. Lindell, Karen R. Murdock, Joseph A. Kufera, Jay Menaker, Grant V. Bochicchio, Bizhan Aarabi, Thomas M. Scalea. 2012. Use of Serum Biomarkers to Predict Cerebral Hypoxia after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:6, 1140-1149. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 30. Kazuhide Matsushima, Monica Peng, Carlos Velasco, Eric Schaefer, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, Heidi Frankel. 2012. Glucose variability negatively impacts long-term functional outcome in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Critical Care* 27:2, 125-131. [CrossRef]
- 31. Belinda J. Gabbe, Grad Dip Biostat, Pam M. Simpson, Ann M. Sutherland, Grad Dip, Rory Wolfe, Mark C. Fitzgerald, Rodney Judson, Peter A. Cameron. 2012. Improved Functional Outcomes for Major Trauma Patients in a Regionalized, Inclusive Trauma System. *Annals of Surgery* 1. [CrossRef]

- 32. Heleen A.R. Biersteker, Teuntje M.J.C. Andriessen, Janneke Horn, Gaby Franschman, Joukje van der Naalt, Cornelia W.E. Hoedemaekers, Hester F. Lingsma, Iain Haitsma, Pieter E. Vos. 2012. Factors influencing intracranial pressure monitoring guideline compliance and outcome after severe traumatic brain injury. *Critical Care Medicine* 1. [CrossRef]
- 33. James F. Malec, Flora M. Hammond, Joseph T. Giacino, John Whyte, Jerry Wright. 2012. A Structured Interview to Improve the Reliability and Psychometric Integrity of the Disability Rating Scale. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. [CrossRef]
- 34. Juan Lu, Anthony Marmarou, Kate L. Lapane, on behalf of the IMPACT investigators. 2012. Impact of GOS Misclassification on Ordinal Outcome Analysis of Traumatic Brain Injury Clinical Trials. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:5, 719-726. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 35. J. T. Lindsay Wilson. 2012. Lessons from traumatic head injury for assessing functional status after brain tumour. *Journal of Neuro-Oncology* . [CrossRef]
- 36. George Kwok Chu Wong, Ronald Boet, Stephanie Chi Ping Ng, Matthew Chan, Tony Gin, Benny Zee, Wai Sang Poon. 2012. Ultra-Early (within 24 Hours) Aneurysm Treatment After Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *World Neurosurgery* 77:2, 311-315. [CrossRef]
- 37. Bob Roozenbeek, Hester F Lingsma, Andrew IR Maas. 2012. New considerations in the design of clinical trials for traumatic brain injury. *Clinical Investigation* **2**:2, 153-162. [CrossRef]
- 38. Roberto Imberti. 2012. Letter to the Editor. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 1-2. [CrossRef]
- 39. James Weir, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Isabella Butcher, Juan Lu, Hester F. Lingsma, Gillian S. McHugh, Bob Roozenbeek, Andrew I.R. Maas, Gordon D. Murray. 2012. Does the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale Add Value to the Conventional Glasgow Outcome Scale?. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:1, 53-58. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 40. Gaby Franschman, Christa Boer, Teuntje M.J.C. Andriessen, Joukje van der Naalt, Janneke Horn, Iain Haitsma, Bram Jacobs, Pieter E. Vos. 2012. Multicenter Evaluation of the Course of Coagulopathy in Patients with Isolated Traumatic Brain Injury: Relation to CT Characteristics and Outcome. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:1, 128-136. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 41. Nada Andelic, Erik Bautz-Holter, Pal Ronning, Kjell Olafsen, Solrun Sigurdardottir, Anne-Kristine Schanke, Unni Sveen, Sveinung Tornas, Maria Sandhaug, Cecilie Roe. 2012. Does an Early Onset and Continuous Chain of Rehabilitation Improve the Long-Term Functional Outcome of Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury?. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 29:1, 66-74. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 42. Jonas G. Halldorsson, Kjell M. Flekkoy, Gudmundur B. Arnkelsson, Kristinn Tomasson, Hulda Bra Magnadottir, Eirikur Orn Arnarson. 2012. The scope of early traumatic brain injury as a long-term health concern in two nationwide samples: Prevalence and prognostic factors. *Brain Injury* 26:1, 1-13. [CrossRef]
- 43. George Kwok-Chu Wong, Rebecca Yuen-Ting Ng, Wai-Sang Poon. 2012. Endovascular treatment of very small (3mm or smaller) intracranial aneurysms: an updated systemic review. *Surgical Practice* no-no. [CrossRef]
- 44. Magnus Olivecrona, Marie Rodling-Wahlström, Silvana Naredi, Lars-Owe D. Koskinen. 2012. Prostacyclin treatment and clinical outcome in severe traumatic brain injury patients managed with an ICP-targeted therapy: A prospective study. *Brain Injury* 26:1, 67-75. [CrossRef]
- 45. Laura Pielmaier, Andreas Maercker. 2011. Psychological adaptation to life-threatening injury in dyads: the role of dysfunctional disclosure of trauma. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* **2**:0. . [CrossRef]
- 46. Belinda J. Gabbe, Ann M. Sutherland, Melissa J. Hart, Peter A. Cameron. 2011. Re: Mitchell R, Watson W, Curtis K, Harris I, McDougall P. Difficulties in establishing long-term trauma outcomes data collections. Could trauma outcomes be routinely monitored in New South Wales, Australia: Piloting a 3 month follow-up? doi:10.1016/j.injury.2011.01.006. *Injury*. [CrossRef]
- 47. S. Magnoni, T. J. Esparza, V. Conte, M. Carbonara, G. Carrabba, D. M. Holtzman, G. J. Zipfel, N. Stocchetti, D. L. Brody. 2011. Tau elevations in the brain extracellular space correlate with reduced amyloid-levels and predict adverse clinical outcomes after severe traumatic brain injury. *Brain*. [CrossRef]
- 48. Marianne Løvstad, Ingrid Funderud, Magnus Lindgren, Tor Endestad, Paulina Due-Tønnessen, Torstein Meling, Bradley Voytek, Robert T. Knight, Anne-Kristin Solbakk. 2011. Contribution of Subregions of Human Frontal Cortex to Novelty Processing. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 378-395. [CrossRef]

- 49. George Kwok Chu Wong, Karine Ngai, Adrian Wong, Sandy Wai Lam, Vincent C. T. Mok, Janice Yeung, Timothy Rainer, Rosanna Wong, Wai Sang Poon. 2011. Long-term cognitive dysfunction in patients with traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage: prevalence and risk factors. *Acta Neurochirurgica*. [CrossRef]
- 50. Teuntje M.J.C. Andriessen, Janneke Horn, Gaby Franschman, Joukje van der Naalt, Iain Haitsma, Bram Jacobs, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Pieter E. Vos. 2011. Epidemiology, Severity Classification, and Outcome of Moderate and Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Prospective Multicenter Study. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 28:10, 2019-2031. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 51. Kathleen R. Bell, Jo Ann Brockway, Tessa Hart, John Whyte, Mark Sherer, Robert T. Fraser, Nancy R. Temkin, Sureyya S. Dikmen. 2011. Scheduled Telephone Intervention for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **92**:10, 1552-1560. [CrossRef]
- 52. Natallia Lapitskaya, Joergen Feldbaek Nielsen, Anders Fuglsang-Frederiksen. 2011. Robotic gait training in patients with impaired consciousness due to severe traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury* 1-10. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tessa Hart, Lisa Brenner, Allison N. Clark, Jennifer A. Bogner, Thomas A. Novack, Inna Chervoneva, Risa Nakase-Richardson, Juan Carlos Arango-Lasprilla. 2011. Major and Minor Depression After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **92**:8, 1211-1219. [CrossRef]
- 54. Deborah M. Stein, Peter F. Hu, Megan Brenner, Kevin N. Sheth, Keng-Hao Liu, Wei Xiong, Bizhan Aarabi, Thomas M. Scalea. 2011. Brief Episodes of Intracranial Hypertension and Cerebral Hypoperfusion Are Associated With Poor Functional Outcome After Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 71:2, 364-374. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hidetoshi Matsukawa, Masaki Shinoda, Motoharu Fujii, Osamu Takahashi, Daisuke Yamamoto, Atsushi Murakata, Ryoichi Ishikawa. 2011. Genu of corpus callosum as a prognostic factor in diffuse axonal injury. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 1-6. [CrossRef]
- Sasha J. Rogers, Allyson L. Browne, Mandy Vidovich, Stephen Honeybul. 2011. Defining meaningful outcomes after decompressive craniectomy for traumatic brain injury: Existing challenges and future targets. *Brain Injury* 25:7-8, 651-663. [CrossRef]
- 57. George M Ibrahim, Shobhan Vachhrajani, Don Ilodigwe, M Stat, Neal F Kassell, Stephan A Mayer, Daniel Ruefenacht, Peter Schmiedek, Stephan Weidauer, Alberto Pasqualin, R Loch Macdonald. 2011. Method of Aneurysm Treatment Does Not Affect Clot Clearance After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery* 1. [CrossRef]
- 58. Rene van den Berg, Mahrouz Foumani, Rosalie D. Schröder, Saskia M. Peerdeman, Janneke Horn, Shandra Bipat, Peter Vandertop. 2011. Predictors of outcome in World Federation of Neurologic Surgeons grade V aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage patients. *Critical Care Medicine* 1. [CrossRef]
- 59. Dhaval Shukla, B. Indira Devi, Amit Agrawal. 2011. Outcome measures for traumatic brain injury. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery* **113**:6, 435-441. [CrossRef]
- 60. Owen D. Williamson, Belinda J. Gabbe, Ann M. Sutherland, Rory Wolfe, Andrew B. Forbes, Peter A. Cameron. 2011. Comparing the Responsiveness of Functional Outcome Assessment Measures for Trauma Registries. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 71:1, 63-68. [CrossRef]
- 61. George Kwok Chu Wong, Rosanna Wong, Wai Sang Poon. 2011. Cognitive Outcomes and Activity of Daily Living for Neurosurgical Patients With Intrinsic Brain Lesions: A 1-year Prevalence Study. *Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy* 21:1, 27-32. [CrossRef]
- 62. Michael Schönberger, Jennie Ponsford, Kate R. Gould, Lisa Johnston. 2011. The Temporal Relationship Between Depression, Anxiety, and Functional Status after Traumatic Brain Injury: A Cross-lagged Analysis. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 1-7. [CrossRef]
- 63. George KC Wong, Wai S Poon, Ronald Boet, Matthew TV Chan, Tony Gin, Stephanie CP Ng, Benny CY Zee. 2011. Health-Related Quality of Life After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: Profile and Clinical Factors. *Neurosurgery* **68**:6, 1556-1561. [CrossRef]
- 64. Christian Zeckey, Frank Hildebrand, Hans-Christoph Pape, Philipp Mommsen, Martin Panzica, Boris A. Zelle, Nicola Alexander Sittaro, Ralf Lohse, Christian Krettek, Christian Probst. 2011. Head injury in polytrauma—Is there an effect on outcome more than 10 years after the injury? *Brain Injury* 25:6, 551-559. [CrossRef]

- 65. Sanna Koskinen, Eeva-Maija Hokkinen, Lindsay Wilson, Jaana Sarajuuri, Nicole Von Steinbüchel, Jean-Luc Truelle. 2011. Comparison of subjective and objective assessments of outcome after traumatic brain injury using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). *Disability & Rehabilitation* 1-15. [CrossRef]
- 66. J. Hume Adams, Bryan Jennett, Lilian S. Murray, Graham M. Teasdale, Thomas A. Gennarelli, David I. Graham. 2011. Neuropathological Findings in Disabled Survivors of a Head Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 28:5, 701-709. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 67. Toril Skandsen, Kjell Arne Kvistad, Ole Solheim, Stian Lydersen, Ingrid Haavde Strand, Anne Vik. 2011. Prognostic Value of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Moderate and Severe Head Injury: A Prospective Study of Early MRI Findings and One-Year Outcome. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 28:5, 691-699. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 68. Deborah M. Stein, Allison Lindell, Karen R. Murdock, Joseph A. Kufera, Jay Menaker, Kaspar Keledjian, Grant V. Bochicchio, Bizhan Aarabi, Thomas M. Scalea. 2011. Relationship of Serum and Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers With Intracranial Hypertension and Cerebral Hypoperfusion After Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 70:5, 1096-1103. [CrossRef]
- 69. D. James Cooper, Jeffrey V. Rosenfeld, Lynnette Murray, Yaseen M. Arabi, Andrew R. Davies, Paul D'Urso, Thomas Kossmann, Jennie Ponsford, Ian Seppelt, Peter Reilly, Rory Wolfe. 2011. Decompressive Craniectomy in Diffuse Traumatic Brain Injury. *New England Journal of Medicine* **364**:16, 1493-1502. [CrossRef]
- 70. Johan Ljungqvist, Daniel Nilsson, Maria Ljungberg, Ann Sörbo, Eva Esbjörnsson, Catherine Eriksson-Ritzén, Thomas Skoglund. 2011. Longitudinal study of the diffusion tensor imaging properties of the corpus callosum in acute and chronic diffuse axonal injury. *Brain Injury* 25:4, 370-378. [CrossRef]
- 71. Deborah M. Stein, Joseph A. Kufera, Allison Lindell, Karen R. Murdock, Jay Menaker, Grant V. Bochicchio, Bizhan Aarabi, Thomas M. Scalea. 2011. Association of CSF Biomarkers and Secondary Insults Following Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Neurocritical Care* 14:2, 200-207. [CrossRef]
- 72. Anne Hudak, Matthew Warner, Carlos Marquez de la Plata, Carol Moore, Caryn Harper, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia. 2011. Brain morphometry changes and depressive symptoms after traumatic brain injury. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* **191**:3, 160-165. [CrossRef]
- 73. Tessa Hart, Monica J. Vaccaro, Christina Hays, Roland D. Maiuro. 2011. Anger Self-Management Training for People With Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 1. [CrossRef]
- 74. A.D. Nichol, A.M. Higgins, B.J. Gabbe, L.J. Murray, D.J. Cooper, P.A. Cameron. 2011. Measuring functional and quality of life outcomes following major head injury: Common scales and checklists. *Injury* 42:3, 281-287. [CrossRef]
- 75. A. M. Hudak, L. S. Hynan, C. R. Harper, R. Diaz-Arrastia. 2011. Association of Depressive Symptoms With Functional Outcome After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 1. [CrossRef]
- 76. Jose L. Pascual, Patrick Georgoff, Eileen Maloney-Wilensky, Carrie Sims, Babak Sarani, Michael F. Stiefel, Peter D. LeRoux, C. William Schwab. 2011. Reduced Brain Tissue Oxygen in Traumatic Brain Injury: Are Most Commonly Used Interventions Successful?. The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care 70:3, 535-546. [CrossRef]
- 77. Bram Jacobs , Tjemme Beems , Ton M. van der Vliet , Ramon R. Diaz-Arrastia , George F. Borm , Pieter E. Vos . 2011. Computed Tomography and Outcome in Moderate and Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: Hematoma Volume and Midline Shift Revisited. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 28:2, 203-215. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 78. Elisa R. Zanier, Giovanna Brandi, Giuseppe Peri, Luca Longhi, Tommaso Zoerle, Mauro Tettamanti, Cecilia Garlanda, Anna Sigurtà, Serenella Valaperta, Alberto Mantovani, Maria Grazia Simoni, Nino Stocchetti. 2011. Cerebrospinal fluid pentraxin 3 early after subarachnoid hemorrhage is associated with vasospasm. *Intensive Care Medicine* 37:2, 302-309. [CrossRef]
- 79. Connie C. Duncan, Angela C. Summers, Elizabeth J. Perla, Kerry L. Coburn, Allan F. Mirsky. 2011. Evaluation of traumatic brain injury: Brain potentials in diagnosis, function, and prognosis. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. [CrossRef]
- 80. Amy K. Wagner, Patricia M. Arenth, Christina Kwasnica, Emily H. RogersTraumatic Brain Injury 1133-1175. [CrossRef]

- 81. Kate Rachel Gould, Jennie Louise Ponsford, Lisa Johnston, Michael Schönberger. 2011. Relationship Between Psychiatric Disorders and 1-Year Psychosocial Outcome Following Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 26:1, 79-89. [CrossRef]
- 82. Carlos D. Marquez de la Plata, Fanpei Gloria Yang, Jun Yi Wang, Kamini Krishnan, Khamid Bakhadirov, Christopher Paliotta, Sina Aslan, Michael D. Devous, Carol Moore, Caryn Harper, Roderick McColl, C. Munro Cullum, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia. 2011. Diffusion Tensor Imaging Biomarkers for Traumatic Axonal Injury: Analysis of Three Analytic Methods. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 17:01, 24-35. [CrossRef]
- 83. Asha K. Vas, Sandra B. Chapman, Lori G. Cook, Alan C. Elliott, Molly Keebler. 2011. Higher-Order Reasoning Training Years After Traumatic Brain Injury in Adults. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* **26**:3, 224-239. [CrossRef]
- 84. Rebecca Mitchell, Wendy L. Watson, Kate Curtis, Ian Harris, Patricia McDougall. 2011. Difficulties in establishing long-term trauma outcomes data collections. Could trauma outcomes be routinely monitored in New South Wales, Australia: Piloting a 3 month follow-up?. *Injury*. [CrossRef]
- 85. Alexander David Mendelow, Barbara A. GregsonSurgery for Intracerebral Hemorrhage 1336-1348. [CrossRef]
- 86. Andrew I.R. Maas, Doortje C. Engel, Hester LingsmaPrognosis after Traumatic Brain Injury 3497-3506. [CrossRef]
- 87. Raphael H. Sacho, Andy Vail, Timothy Rainey, Andrew T. King, Charmaine Childs. 2010. The Effect of Spontaneous Alterations in Brain Temperature on Outcome: A Prospective Observational Cohort Study in Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:12, 2157-2164. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 88. R. Loch Macdonald, Randall T. Higashida, Emanuela Keller, Stephan A. Mayer, Andy Molyneux, Andreas Raabe, Peter Vajkoczy, Isabel Wanke, Aline Frey, Angelina Marr, Sébastien Roux, Neal F. Kassell. 2010. Preventing Vasospasm Improves Outcome After Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: Rationale and Design of CONSCIOUS-2 and CONSCIOUS-3 Trials. *Neurocritical Care* 13:3, 416-424. [CrossRef]
- 89. Sheng-Jean Huang, Hsueh-Lin Ho, Chi-Cheng Yang. 2010. Longitudinal outcomes of patients with traumatic brain injury: A preliminary study. *Brain Injury* **24**:13-14, 1606-1615. [CrossRef]
- 90. Toril Skandsen, Torun G. Finnanger, Stein Andersson, Stian Lydersen, Jan F. Brunner, Anne Vik. 2010. Cognitive Impairment 3 Months After Moderate and Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Prospective Follow-Up Study. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 91:12, 1904-1913. [CrossRef]
- 91. Stéphane Legriel, Elie Azoulay, Matthieu Resche-Rigon, Virginie Lemiale, Bruno Mourvillier, Achille Kouatchet, Gilles Troché, Manuel Wolf, Richard Galliot, Géraldine Dessertaine, Danièle Combaux, Frederic Jacobs, Pascal Beuret, Bruno Megarbane, Pierre Carli, Yves Lambert, Fabrice Bruneel, Jean-Pierre Bedos. 2010. Functional outcome after convulsive status epilepticus. *Critical Care Medicine* 38:12, 2295-2303. [CrossRef]
- 92. Sebastian A. Ahmadi, Ullrich Meier, Johannes Lemcke. 2010. Detailed long-term outcome analysis after decompressive craniectomy for severe traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury* **24**:13-14, 1539-1549. [CrossRef]
- 93. Jennie Ponsford, John Olver, Michael Ponsford, Michael Schönberger. 2010. Two-Year Outcome Following Traumatic Brain Injury and Rehabilitation: A Comparison of Patients From Metropolitan Melbourne and Those Residing in Regional Victoria. *Brain Impairment* 11:3, 253-261. [CrossRef]
- 94. Stephen A. Bernard, Vina Nguyen, Peter Cameron, Kevin Masci, Mark Fitzgerald, David J. Cooper, Tony Walker, B Paramed Std, Paul Myles, Lynne Murray, David, Taylor, Karen Smith, Ian Patrick, John Edington, Andrew Bacon, Jeffrey V. Rosenfeld, Rodney Judson. 2010. Prehospital Rapid Sequence Intubation Improves Functional Outcome for Patients With Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. Annals of Surgery 252:6, 959-965. [CrossRef]
- 95. Jean-Luc Truelle, Patrick Fayol, Michèle Montreuil, Mathilde Chevignard. 2010. Community integration after severe traumatic brain injury in adults. *Current Opinion in Neurology* **23**:6, 688-694. [CrossRef]
- 96. Lu-Ting Kuo, Abel Po-Hao Huang, Chi-Cheng Yang, Shao-Yu Tsai, Yong-Kwang Tu, Sheng-Jean Huang. 2010. Clinical Outcome of Mild Head Injury with Isolated Oculomotor Nerve Palsy. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:11, 1959-1964. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 97. Elisabeth A. Wilde, Gale G. Whiteneck, Jennifer Bogner, Tamara Bushnik, David X. Cifu, Sureyya Dikmen, Louis French, Joseph T. Giacino, Tessa Hart, James F. Malec, Scott R. Millis, Thomas A. Novack, Mark Sherer, David S. Tulsky, Rodney D. Vanderploeg, Nicole von Steinbuechel. 2010. Recommendations for the Use of Common

- Outcome Measures in Traumatic Brain Injury Research. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **91**:11, 1650-1660.e17. [CrossRef]
- 98. Alan K. H. Tam, Don Ilodigwe, Jay Mocco, Stephan Mayer, Neal Kassell, Daniel Ruefenacht, Peter Schmiedek, Stephan Weidauer, Alberto Pasqualin, R. Loch Macdonald. 2010. Impact of Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome on Vasospasm, Cerebral Infarction, and Outcome After Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: Exploratory Analysis of CONSCIOUS-1 Database. *Neurocritical Care* 13:2, 182-189. [CrossRef]
- 99. Jean-Luc Truelle, Sanna Koskinen, Graeme Hawthorne, Jaana Sarajuuri, Rita Formisano, Klaus Von Wild, Edmund Neugebauer, Lindsay Wilson, Henning Gibbons, Jane Powell, Monika Bullinger, Stefan Höfer, Andrew Maas, George Zitnay, Nicole Von Steinbuechel, The Qolibri Task Force. 2010. Quality of life after traumatic brain injury: The clinical use of the QOLIBRI, a novel disease-specific instrument. *Brain Injury* 24:11, 1272-1291. [CrossRef]
- 100. B. Núñez-García, M.J. Durà-Mata, C. García-Almazán, J. Muñoz-Aguiar, M. Colomer-Giralt, M. Molleda Marzo, V. Calderón-Padilla. 2010. Ictus maligno: funcionalidad posterior a la hemicraniectomía descompresiva. *Rehabilitación* 44:4, 331-335. [CrossRef]
- 101. Belinda J. Gabbe, Ann M. Sutherland, Melissa J. Hart, Peter A. Cameron. 2010. Population-Based Capture of Long-Term Functional and Quality of Life Outcomes After Major Trauma: The Experiences of the Victorian State Trauma Registry. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **69**:3, 532-536. [CrossRef]
- 102. Marieke R. Benedictus, Jacoba M. Spikman, Joukje van der Naalt. 2010. Cognitive and Behavioral Impairment in Traumatic Brain Injury Related to Outcome and Return to Work. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **91**:9, 1436-1441. [CrossRef]
- 103. Poongundran Namachivayam, Frank Shann, Lara Shekerdemian, Anna Taylor, Irene van Sloten, Carmel Delzoppo, Claire Daffey, Warwick Butt. 2010. Three decades of pediatric intensive care: Who was admitted, what happened in intensive care, and what happened afterward*. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine* 11:5, 549-555. [CrossRef]
- 104. Toril Skandsen, Kjell Arne Kvistad, Ole Solheim, Ingrid Haavde Strand, Mari Folvik, Anne Vik. 2010. Prevalence and impact of diffuse axonal injury in patients with moderate and severe head injury: a cohort study of early magnetic resonance imaging findings and 1-year outcome. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 113:3, 556-563. [CrossRef]
- 105. Nicole Zangrilli Hoh, Amy K. Wagner, Sheila A. Alexander, Robert B. Clark, Sue R. Beers, David O. Okonkwo, Dianxu Ren, Yvette P. Conley. 2010. BCL2 Genotypes: Functional and Neurobehavioral Outcomes after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:8, 1413-1427. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links] [Supplemental material]
- 106. 2010. Proceedings of 156 th Meeting of the Society of British Neurological Surgeons. *British Journal of Neurosurgery* **24**:4, 338-382. [CrossRef]
- 107. Nicole von Steinbüchel, Lindsay Wilson, Henning Gibbons, Graeme Hawthorne, Stefan Höfer, Silke Schmidt, Monika Bullinger, Andrew Maas, Edmund Neugebauer, Jane Powell, Klaus von Wild, George Zitnay, Wilbert Bakx, Anne-Lise Christensen, Sanna Koskinen, Rita Formisano, Jana Saarajuri, Nadine Sasse, Jean-Luc Truelle. 2010. Quality of Life after Brain Injury (QOLIBRI): Scale Validity and Correlates of Quality of Life. Journal of Neurotrauma 27:7, 1157-1165. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 108. Nicole von Steinbüchel, Lindsay Wilson, Henning Gibbons, Graeme Hawthorne, Stefan Höfer, Silke Schmidt, Monika Bullinger, Andrew Maas, Edmund Neugebauer, Jane Powell, Klaus von Wild, George Zitnay, Wilbert Bakx, Anne-Lise Christensen, Sanna Koskinen, Jaana Sarajuuri, Rita Formisano, Nadine Sasse, Jean-Luc Truelle. 2010. Quality of Life after Brain Injury (QOLIBRI): Scale Development and Metric Properties. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:7, 1167-1185. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 109. Jacques Bessereau, Nicolas Genotelle, Cendrine Chabbaut, Anne Huon, Alexis Tabah, Jérôme Aboab, Sylvie Chevret, Djillali Annane. 2010. Long-term outcome of iatrogenic gas embolism. *Intensive Care Medicine* **36**:7, 1180-1187. [CrossRef]
- 110. Rosette Bremmer, Bauke M. Jong, Michiel Wagemakers, Joost G. Regtien, Joukje Naalt. 2010. The Course of Intracranial Pressure in Traumatic Brain Injury: Relation with Outcome and CT-characteristics. *Neurocritical Care* 12:3, 362-368. [CrossRef]
- 111. Fanpei Gloria Yang, Jerome Fuller, Navid Khodaparast, Daniel C. Krawczyk. 2010. Figurative language processing after traumatic brain injury in adults: A preliminary study. *Neuropsychologia* **48**:7, 1923-1929. [CrossRef]
- 112. Rodger A. Weddell. 2010. Relatives' Criticism Influences Adjustment and Outcome After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **91**:6, 897-904. [CrossRef]

- 113. Hannah Blair, Lindsay Wilson, Jo Gouick, Douglas Gentleman. 2010. Individualized vs. global assessments of quality of life after head injury and their susceptibility to response shift. *Brain Injury* **24**:6, 833-843. [CrossRef]
- 114. Juan Lu, Anthony Marmarou, Kate Lapane, Elizabeth Turf, Lindsay Wilson. 2010. A Method for Reducing Misclassification in the Extended Glasgow Outcome Score. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:5, 843-852. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links] [Supplemental material]
- 115. Bert Bosche, Rudolf Graf, Ralf-Ingo Ernestus, Christian Dohmen, Thomas Reithmeier, Gerrit Brinker, Anthony J. Strong, Jens P. Dreier, Johannes Woitzik. 2010. Recurrent spreading depolarizations after subarachnoid hemorrhage decreases oxygen availability in human cerebral cortex. *Annals of Neurology* **67**:5, 607-617. [CrossRef]
- 116. Magnus Olivecrona, Zandra Wildemyr, Lars-Owe D. Koskinen. 2010. The apolipoprotein E #4 allele and outcome in severe traumatic brain injury treated by an intracranial pressure–targeted therapy. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **112**:5, 1113-1119. [CrossRef]
- 117. Bram Jacobs , Tjemme Beems , Maja Stulemeijer , Arie B. van Vugt , Ton M. van der Vliet , George F. Borm , Pieter E. Vos . 2010. Outcome Prediction in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: Age and Clinical Variables Are Stronger Predictors than CT Abnormalities. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:4, 655-668. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 118. Yueqiao Xu, David L McArthur, Jeffry R Alger, Maria Etchepare, David A Hovda, Thomas C Glenn, Sungcheng Huang, Ivo Dinov, Paul M Vespa. 2010. Early nonischemic oxidative metabolic dysfunction leads to chronic brain atrophy in traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 30:4, 883-894. [CrossRef]
- 119. Robert E. Elliott, Stephen A. Sands, Russell G. Strom, Jeffrey H. Wisoff. 2010. Craniopharyngioma Clinical Status Scale: a standardized metric of preoperative function and posttreatment outcome. *Neurosurgical FOCUS* **28**:4, E2. [CrossRef]
- 120. Andrew F Ducruet, Paul R Gigante, Zachary L Hickman, Brad E Zacharia, Eric J Arias, Bartosz T Grobelny, Justin W Gorski, Stephan A Mayer, E Sander Connolly. 2010. Genetic determinants of cerebral vasospasm, delayed cerebral ischemia, and outcome after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 30:4, 676-688. [CrossRef]
- 121. Allen W. Brown, James F. Malec, Jay Mandrekar, Nancy N. Diehl, Sureyya S. Dikmen, Mark Sherer, Tessa Hart, Thomas A. Novack. 2010. Predictive utility of weekly post-traumatic amnesia assessments after brain injury: A multicentre analysis. *Brain Injury* 24:3, 472-478. [CrossRef]
- 122. PAOLA CIURLI, UMBERTO BIVONA, CARMEN BARBA, GRAZIANO ONDER, DANIELA SILVESTRO, EVA AZICNUDA, JESSICA RIGON, RITA FORMISANO. 2010. Metacognitive unawareness correlates with executive function impairment after severe traumatic brain injury. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 16:02, 360. [CrossRef]
- 123. Luigi Trojano, Pasquale Moretta, Anna Estraneo, Lucio Santoro. 2010. Neuropsychologic Assessment and Cognitive Rehabilitation in a Patient With Locked-In Syndrome and Left Neglect. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 91:3, 498-502. [CrossRef]
- 124. Marcel P. Dijkers, Cynthia Harrison-Felix, Jennifer H. Marwitz. 2010. The Traumatic Brain Injury Model Systems. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* **25**:2, 81-91. [CrossRef]
- 125. Bram Jacobs, Tjemme Beems, Ton M. van der Vliet, George F. Borm, Pieter E. Vos. 2010. The Status of the Fourth Ventricle and Ambient Cisterns Predict Outcome in Moderate and Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 27:2, 331-340. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 126. Nadine E. Andrew, Belinda J. Gabbe, Rory Wolfe, Peter A. Cameron. 2010. Evaluation of Instruments for Measuring the Burden of Sport and Active Recreation Injury. *Sports Medicine* **40**:2, 141-161. [CrossRef]
- 127. Emilia Bagiella, Thomas A. Novack, Beth Ansel, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, Sureyya Dikmen, Tessa Hart, Nancy Temkin. 2010. Measuring Outcome in Traumatic Brain Injury Treatment Trials. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 25:5, 375-382. [CrossRef]
- 128. Linda Papa, Linnet Akinyi, Ming Cheng Liu, Jose A. Pineda, Joseph J. Tepas, Monika W. Oli, Wenrong Zheng, Gillian Robinson, Steven A. Robicsek, Andrea Gabrielli, Shelley C. Heaton, H Julia Hannay, Jason A. Demery, Gretchen M. Brophy, Joe Layon, Claudia S. Robertson, Ronald L. Hayes, Kevin K. W. Wang. 2010. Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase is a novel biomarker in humans for severe traumatic brain injury*. *Critical Care Medicine* 38:1, 138-144. [CrossRef]

- 129. Ross Zafonte, William T. Friedewald, Shing M. Lee, Bruce Levin, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, Beth Ansel, Howard Eisenberg, Shelly D. Timmons, Nancy Temkin, Thomas Novack, Joseph Ricker, Randall Merchant, Jack Jallo. 2009. The Citicoline Brain Injury Treatment (COBRIT) Trial: Design and Methods. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 26:12, 2207-2216. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 130. Nino Stocchetti. 2009. Intracranial Pressure, Brain Vessels, and Consciousness Recovery in Traumatic Brain Injury. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* **109**:6, 1726-1727. [CrossRef]
- 131. Maria Crotty, Stacey George. 2009. Retraining Visual Processing Skills To Improve Driving Ability After Stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **90**:12, 2096-2102. [CrossRef]
- 132. Zwany Metting, Lars A. Rödiger, Roy E. Stewart, Matthijs Oudkerk, Jacques De Keyser, Joukje van der Naalt. 2009. Perfusion computed tomography in the acute phase of mild head injury: Regional dysfunction and prognostic value. *Annals of Neurology* **66**:6, 809-816. [CrossRef]
- 133. Ariane Coester, Cristina Rolim Neumann, Maria Inês Schmidt. 2009. Intensive Insulin Therapy in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Randomized Trial. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 1. [CrossRef]
- 134. Graeme Hawthorne, Russell L. Gruen, Andrew H. Kaye. 2009. Traumatic Brain Injury and Long-Term Quality of Life: Findings from an Australian Study. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 26:10, 1623-1633. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 135. Gavin Newby, Christina Groom. 2009. Evaluating the usability of a single UK community acquired brain injury (ABI) rehabilitation service website: Implications for research methodology and website design. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **20**:2, 264-288. [CrossRef]
- 136. Randall S. Scheibel, Mary R. Newsome, Maya Troyanskaya, Joel L. Steinberg, Felicia C. Goldstein, Hui Mao, Harvey S. Levin. 2009. Effects of Severity of Traumatic Brain Injury and Brain Reserve on Cognitive-Control Related Brain Activation. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 26:9, 1447-1461. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 137. Wesley K. Utomo, Belinda J. Gabbe, Pamela M. Simpson, Peter A. Cameron. 2009. Predictors of in-hospital mortality and 6-month functional outcomes in older adults after moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. *Injury* **40**:9, 973-977. [CrossRef]
- 138. E. von Elm, P. Schoettker, I. Henzi, J. Osterwalder, B. Walder. 2009. Pre-hospital tracheal intubation in patients with traumatic brain injury: systematic review of current evidence. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* **103**:3, 371-386. [CrossRef]
- 139. SOLRUN SIGURDARDOTTIR, NADA ANDELIC, CECILIE ROE, ANNE-KRISTINE SCHANKE. 2009. Cognitive recovery and predictors of functional outcome 1 year after traumatic brain injury. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 15:05, 740. [CrossRef]
- 140. Andrew J. Baker, Shawn G. Rhind, Laurie J. Morrison, Sandra Black, Naomi T. Crnko, Pang N. Shek, Sandro B. Rizoli. 2009. Resuscitation with Hypertonic Saline–Dextran Reduces Serum Biomarker Levels and Correlates with Outcome in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Patients. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 26:8, 1227-1240. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 141. Geneviève Chaput, Jean-François Giguère, Jean-Marc Chauny, Ronald Denis, Gilles Lavigne. 2009. Relationship among subjective sleep complaints, headaches, and mood alterations following a mild traumatic brain injury. *Sleep Medicine* **10**:7, 713-716. [CrossRef]
- 142. Ove Bergdal, Jacob Springborg, John Hauerberg, Vagn Eskesen, Lars Poulsgaard, Bertil Romner. 2009. Outcome after emergency surgery without angiography in patients with intracerebral haemorrhage after aneurysm rupture. *Acta Neurochirurgica* **151**:8, 911-915. [CrossRef]
- 143. N. Andelic, N. Hammergren, E. Bautz-Holter, U. Sveen, C. Brunborg, C. Røe. 2009. Functional outcome and health-related quality of life 10 years after moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* **120**:1, 16-23. [CrossRef]
- 144. Ann K. Sörbo, Maritha Blomqvist, Ingrid M. Emanuelsson, Bertil Rydenhag. 2009. Psychosocial adjustment and life satisfaction until 5 years after severe brain damage. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research* 32:2, 139-147. [CrossRef]
- 145. Regan F. Williams, Louis J. Magnotti, Martin A. Croce, Brinson B. Hargraves, Peter E. Fischer, Thomas J. Schroeppel, Ben L. Zarzaur, Michael Muhlbauer, Shelly D. Timmons, Timothy C. Fabian. 2009. Impact of Decompressive

- Craniectomy on Functional Outcome After Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **66**:6, 1570-1576. [CrossRef]
- 146. Fermín Alberdi Odriozola, Marta Iriarte Ibarrarán, Ángel Mendía Gorostidi, Arantza Murgialdai, Pilar Marco Garde. 2009. Pronóstico de las secuelas tras la lesión cerebral. *Medicina Intensiva* 33:4, 171-181. [CrossRef]
- 147. Alfonso Lagares, Ana Ramos, Angel Pérez-Nuñez, Federico Ballenilla, Rafael Alday, Pedro A. Gómez, Ariel Kaen, Ramiro D. Lobato. 2009. The role of MR imaging in assessing prognosis after severe and moderate head injury. *Acta Neurochirurgica* **151**:4, 341-356. [CrossRef]
- 148. Claes Ladenvall, Ludvig Csajbok, Karin Nylén, Katarina Jood, Bengt Nellgård, Christina Jern. 2009. Association between factor XIII single nucleotide polymorphisms and aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **110**:3, 475-481. [CrossRef]
- 149. A. Lavinio, F. A. Rasulo, E. Peri, M. Czosnyka, N. Latronico. 2009. The relationship between the intracranial pressure–volume index and cerebral autoregulation. *Intensive Care Medicine* **35**:3, 546-549. [CrossRef]
- 150. NOAH D. SILVERBERG, SCOTT R. MILLIS. 2009. Impairment versus deficiency in neuropsychological assessment: Implications for ecological validity. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* **15**:01, 94. [CrossRef]
- 151. Birgitta Johansson, Peter Berglund, Lars Rönnbäck. 2009. Mental fatigue and impaired information processing after mild and moderate traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury* 23:13-14, 1027-1040. [CrossRef]
- 152. David K. Menon. 2009. Unique challenges in clinical trials in traumatic brain injury. *Critical Care Medicine* 37:Supplement, S129-S135. [CrossRef]
- 153. Jason J. J. Chang, Teddy S. Youn, Dan Benson, Heather Mattick, Nicholas Andrade, Caryn R. Harper, Carol B. Moore, Christopher J. Madden, Ramon R. Diaz-Arrastia. 2009. Physiologic and functional outcome correlates of brain tissue hypoxia in traumatic brain injury*. *Critical Care Medicine* 37:1, 283-290. [CrossRef]
- 154. Kristin Elf, Pelle Nilsson, Elisabeth Ronne-Engström, Tim Howells, Per Enblad. 2008. Temperature disturbances in traumatic brain injury: relationship to secondary insults, barbiturate treatment and outcome. *Neurological Research* **30**:10, 1097-1105. [CrossRef]
- 155. Abel Po-Hao Huang, Yong-Kwang Tu, Yi-Hsin Tsai, Yuan-Shen Chen, Wei-Chen Hong, Chi-Cheng Yang, Lu-Ting Kuo, I-Chang Su, She-Hao Huang, Sheng-Jean Huang. 2008. Decompressive Craniectomy as the Primary Surgical Intervention for Hemorrhagic Contusion. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 25:11, 1347-1354. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 156. R.L. Burr, C.J. Kirkness, P.H. Mitchell. 2008. Detrended Fluctuation Analysis of Intracranial Pressure Predicts Outcome Following Traumatic Brain Injury. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **55**:11, 2509-2518. [CrossRef]
- 157. Mark Ylvisaker, Kathryn Mcpherson, Nicola Kayes, Ellen Pellett. 2008. Metaphoric identity mapping: Facilitating goal setting and engagement in rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **18**:5-6, 713-741. [CrossRef]
- 158. Christian Zweifel, Andrea Lavinio, Luzius A. Steiner, Danila Radolovich, Peter Smielewski, Ivan Timofeev, Magdalena Hiler, Marcella Balestreri, Peter J. Kirkpatrick, John D. Pickard, Peter Hutchinson, Marek Czosnyka. 2008. Continuous monitoring of cerebrovascular pressure reactivity in patients with head injury. *Neurosurgical FOCUS* 25:4, E2. [CrossRef]
- 159. Nino Stocchetti, Giuseppe Citerio, Andrew Maas, Peter Andrews, Graham Teasdale. 2008. Bryan Jennett and the field of traumatic brain injury. His intellectual and ethical heritage in neuro-intensive care. *Intensive Care Medicine* **34**:10, 1774-1778. [CrossRef]
- 160. Judith Marcoux, David A. McArthur, Chad Miller, Thomas C. Glenn, Pablo Villablanca, Neil A. Martin, David A. Hovda, Jeffry R. Alger, Paul M. Vespa. 2008. Persistent metabolic crisis as measured by elevated cerebral microdialysis lactate-pyruvate ratio predicts chronic frontal lobe brain atrophy after traumatic brain injury*. *Critical Care Medicine* 36:10, 2871-2877. [CrossRef]
- 161. UMBERTO BIVONA, PAOLA CIURLI, CARMEN BARBA, GRAZIANO ONDER, EVA AZICNUDA, DANIELA SILVESTRO, RENATA MANGANO, JESSICA RIGON, RITA FORMISANO. 2008. Executive function and metacognitive self-awareness after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 14:05. [CrossRef]

- 162. D COOPER, J ROSENFELD, L MURRAY, R WOLFE, J PONSFORD, A DAVIES, P DURSO, V PELLEGRINO, G MALHAM, T KOSSMANN. 2008. Early decompressive craniectomy for patients with severe traumatic brain injury and refractory intracranial hypertension—A pilot randomized trial. *Journal of Critical Care* 23:3, 387-393. [CrossRef]
- 163. P.H. Ping Fung Kon Jin, Niels Penning, Pieter Joosse, Albert H.J. Hijdra, Gert Joan Bouma, Kees Jan Ponsen, J. Carel Goslings. 2008. The Effect of the Introduction of the Amsterdam Trauma Workflow Concept on Mortality and Functional Outcome of Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 25:8, 1003-1009. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 164. Andrew IR Maas, Nino Stocchetti, Ross Bullock. 2008. Moderate and severe traumatic brain injury in adults. *The Lancet Neurology* **7**:8, 728-741. [CrossRef]
- 165. I STIELL, C CALLAWAY, D DAVIS, T TERNDRUP, J POWELL, A COOK, P KUDENCHUK, M DAYA, R KERBER, A IDRIS. 2008. Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (ROC) PRIMED cardiac arrest trial methods#Part 2: Rationale and methodology for "Analyze Later vs. Analyze Early" protocol. *Resuscitation* 78:2, 186-195. [CrossRef]
- 166. Jerry Lee Howard, Mark D. Cipolle, Meredith Anderson, Victoria Sabella, Daniele Shollenberger, P Mark Li, Michael D. Pasquale. 2008. Outcome After Decompressive Craniectomy for the Treatment of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 65:2, 380-386. [CrossRef]
- 167. Juan Lu, Gordon D. Murray, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Isabella Butcher, Gillian S. Mchugh, Hester Lingsma, Nino Mushkudiani, Sung Choi, Andrew I.R. Maas, Anthony Marmarou. 2008. Effects of Glasgow Outcome Scale Misclassification on Traumatic Brain Injury Clinical Trials. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 25:6, 641-651. [Abstract] [Full Text HTML] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 168. David L. Ripley, Cindy Harrison-Felix, Melissa Sendroy-Terrill, Christopher P. Cusick, Amy Dannels-McClure, Clare Morey. 2008. The Impact of Female Reproductive Function on Outcomes After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **89**:6, 1090-1096. [CrossRef]
- 169. Christian Dohmen, Oliver W. Sakowitz, Martin Fabricius, Bert Bosche, Thomas Reithmeier, Ralf-Ingo Ernestus, Gerrit Brinker, Jens P. Dreier, Johannes Woitzik, Anthony J. Strong, Rudolf Graf. 2008. Spreading depolarizations occur in human ischemic stroke with high incidence. *Annals of Neurology* **63**:6, 720-728. [CrossRef]
- 170. Sophie Calvert, Helen E Miller, Andrew Curran, Biju Hameed, Renée McCarter, Richard J Edwards, Linda Hunt, Peta Mary Sharples. 2008. The King's Outcome Scale for Childhood Head Injury and injury severity and outcome measures in children with traumatic brain injury. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* **50**:6, 426-431. [CrossRef]
- 171. Stephan A. Mayer, Nikolai C. Brun, Kamilla Begtrup, Joseph Broderick, Stephen Davis, Michael N. Diringer, Brett E. Skolnick, Thorsten Steiner. 2008. Efficacy and Safety of Recombinant Activated Factor VII for Acute Intracerebral Hemorrhage. *New England Journal of Medicine* **358**:20, 2127-2137. [CrossRef]
- 172. Catherine J. Kirkness, Robert L. Burr, Kevin C. Cain, David W. Newell, Pamela H. Mitchell. 2008. The impact of a highly visible display of cerebral perfusion pressure on outcome in individuals with cerebral aneurysms. *Heart & Lung: The Journal of Acute and Critical Care* 37:3, 227-237. [CrossRef]
- 173. Belinda J. Gabbe, Pam M. Simpson, Ann M. Sutherland, Owen D. Williamson, Rodney Judson, Thomas Kossmann, Peter A. Cameron. 2008. Functional Measures at Discharge. *Annals of Surgery* **247**:5, 854-859. [CrossRef]
- 174. Sumati Bavisetty, David L. McArthur, Joshua R. Dusick, Christina Wang, Pejman Cohan, W. John Boscardin, Ronald Swerdloff, Harvey Levin, Dongwoo J. Chang, Jan P. Muizelaar, Daniel F. Kelly. 2008. CHRONIC HYPOPITUITARISM AFTER TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY. *Neurosurgery* **62**:5, 1080-1094. [CrossRef]
- 175. Robin A. Hanks, Scott R. Millis, Joseph H. Ricker, Joseph T. Giacino, Risa Nakese-Richardson, Alan B. Frol, Tom A. Novack, Kathleen Kalmar, Mark Sherer, Wayne A. Gordon. 2008. The Predictive Validity of a Brief Inpatient Neuropsychologic Battery for Persons With Traumatic Brain Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 89:5, 950-957. [CrossRef]
- 176. Carlos D. Marquez de la Plata, Tessa Hart, Flora M. Hammond, Alan B. Frol, Anne Hudak, Caryn R. Harper, Therese M. O'Neil-Pirozzi, John Whyte, Mary Carlile, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia. 2008. Impact of Age on Long-Term Recovery From Traumatic Brain Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 89:5, 896-903. [CrossRef]
- 177. Tim J. Collins, Peter J. Samworth. 2008. Therapeutic hypothermia following cardiac arrest: a review of the evidence. *Nursing in Critical Care* **13**:3, 144-151. [CrossRef]
- 178. Marc A Seifman, Alexios A Adamides, Phuong N Nguyen, Shirley A Vallance, David James Cooper, Thomas Kossmann, Jeffrey V Rosenfeld, M Cristina Morganti-Kossmann. 2008. Endogenous melatonin increases in

- cerebrospinal fluid of patients after severe traumatic brain injury and correlates with oxidative stress and metabolic disarray. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* **28**:4, 684-696. [CrossRef]
- 179. John A. Myburgh, D James Cooper, Simon R. Finfer, Balasubramanian Venkatesh, Daryl Jones, Alisa Higgins, Nicole Bishop, Tracey Higlett. 2008. Epidemiology and 12-Month Outcomes From Traumatic Brain Injury in Australia and New Zealand. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **64**:4, 854-862. [CrossRef]
- 180. M. J De Silva, I. Roberts, P. Perel, P. Edwards, M. G Kenward, J. Fernandes, H. Shakur, V. Patel. 2008. Patient outcome after traumatic brain injury in high-, middle- and low-income countries: analysis of data on 8927 patients in 46 countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **38**:2, 452-458. [CrossRef]
- 181. Stephen R. McCauley, Corwin Boake, Claudia Pedroza, Sharon A. Brown, Harvey S. Levin, Heather S. Goodman, Shirley G. Merritt. 2008. Correlates of persistent postconcussional disorder: DSM-IV criteria versus ICD-10. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* **30**:3, 360-379. [CrossRef]
- 182. Charles Philip Toussaint, T. C. Origitano. 2008. Decompressive Craniectomy. *Neurosurgery Quarterly* **18**:1, 45-53. [CrossRef]
- 183. Nino Stocchetti, Clelia Zanaboni, Angelo Colombo, Giuseppe Citerio, Luigi Beretta, Laura Ghisoni, Elisa Roncati Zanier, Katia Canavesi. 2008. Refractory intracranial hypertension and "second-tier" therapies in traumatic brain injury. *Intensive Care Medicine* **34**:3, 461-467. [CrossRef]
- 184. M. Smits, M.G.M. Hunink, D.A. van Rijssel, H.M. Dekker, P.E. Vos, D.R. Kool, P.J. Nederkoorn, P.A.M. Hofman, A. Twijnstra, H.L.J. Tanghe, D.W.J. Dippel. 2008. Outcome after Complicated Minor Head Injury. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 29:3, 506-513. [CrossRef]
- 185. K. Nylén, M. Öst, L. Z. Csajbok, I. Nilsson, C. Hall, K. Blennow, B. Nellgård, L. Rosengren. 2008. Serum levels of S100B, S100A1B and S100BB are all related to outcome after severe traumatic brain injury. *Acta Neurochirurgica* **150**:3, 221-227. [CrossRef]
- 186. Karen J. Brasel, Eileen Bulger, Andrea J. Cook, Laurie J. Morrison, Craig D. Newgard, Sam A. Tisherman, Jeffrey D. Kerby, Raul Coimbra, J. Steven Hata, David B. Hoyt. 2008. Hypertonic Resuscitation: Design and Implementation of a Prehospital Intervention Trial. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* **206**:2, 220-232. [CrossRef]
- 187. C. Bekes. 2008. Observer Variation in the Assessment of Outcome in Traumatic Brain Injury: Experience from a Multicenter, International Randomized Clinical Trial. *Yearbook of Critical Care Medicine* **2008**, 282-283. [CrossRef]
- 188. Rainer Scheid, Derek V. Ott, Henrik Roth, Matthias L. Schroeter, D. Yves von Cramon. 2007. Comparative Magnetic Resonance Imaging at 1.5 and 3 Tesla for the Evaluation of Traumatic Microbleeds. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:12, 1811-1816. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 189. Ellen J. MacKenzie, Frederick P. Rivara, Gregory J. Jurkovich, Avery B. Nathens, Katherine P. Frey, Brian L. Egleston, David S. Salkever, Sharada Weir, Daniel O. Scharfstein. 2007. The National Study on Costs and Outcomes of Trauma. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **63**:Supplement, S54-S67. [CrossRef]
- 190. Shahid Shafi, Carlos Marquez de la Plata, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, Kristin Shipman, Mary Carlile, Heidi Frankel, Jennifer Parks, Larry M. Gentilello. 2007. Racial Disparities in Long-Term Functional Outcome After Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **63**:6, 1263-1270. [CrossRef]
- 191. J. B. Springborg, C. Møller, P. Gideon, O. S. Jørgensen, M. Juhler, N. V. Olsen. 2007. Erythropoietin in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: a double blind randomised clinical trial. *Acta Neurochirurgica* **149**:11, 1089-1101. [CrossRef]
- 192. Axel Petzold. 2007. CSF biomarkers for improved prognostic accuracy in acute CNS disease. *Neurological Research* **29**:7, 691-708. [CrossRef]
- 193. Mats Ryttlefors, Tim Howells, Pelle Nilsson, Elisabeth Ronne-Engström, Per Enblad. 2007. SECONDARY INSULTS IN SUBARACHNOID HEMORRHAGE. *Neurosurgery* **61**:4, 704-715. [CrossRef]
- 194. Scott A. LeGrand, Bradley J. Hindman, Franklin Dexter, Linda G. Moss, Michael M. Todd. 2007. Reliability of a Telephone-Based Glasgow Outcome Scale Assessment Using a Structured Interview in a Heterogenous Population of Patients and Examiners. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:9, 1437-1446. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 195. Kristy Draper, Jennie Ponsford, Michael Schönberger. 2007. Psychosocial and Emotional Outcomes 10 Years Following Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 22:5, 278-287. [CrossRef]

- 196. 2007. Saline or Albumin for Fluid Resuscitation in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury. *New England Journal of Medicine* **357**:9, 874-884. [CrossRef]
- 197. Clarissa Oliveira De Oliveira, Alexandre Gard Reimer, Adriana Brondani Da Rocha, Ivana Grivicich, Rogério Fett Schneider, Israel Roisenberg, Andrea Regner, Daniel Simon. 2007. Plasma von Willebrand Factor Levels Correlate with Clinical Outcome of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:8, 1331-1338. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 198. Nino Stocchetti, Angelo Colombo, Fabrizio Ortolano, Walter Videtta, Roberta Marchesi, Luca Longhi, Elisa R. Zanier. 2007. Time Course of Intracranial Hypertension after Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:8, 1339-1346. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 199. Christopher W. Barton, J. Claude Hemphill. 2007. Cumulative Dose of Hypertension Predicts Outcome in Intracranial Hemorrhage Better Than American Heart Association Guidelines. *Academic Emergency Medicine* **14**:8, 695-701. [CrossRef]
- 200. Virginia Campello Yurgel, Nilo Ikuta, Adriana Brondani da Rocha, Vagner Ricardo Lunge, Rogerio Fett Schneider, André Salvador Kazantzi Fonseca, Ivana Grivicich, Caroline Zanoni, Andrea Regner. 2007. Role of Plasma DNA as a Predictive Marker of Fatal Outcome following Severe Head Injury in Males. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:7, 1172-1181. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 201. J. T. Lindsay Wilson, Frans J.A. Slieker, Valerie Legrand, Gordon Murray, Nino Stocchetti, Andrew I.R. Maas. 2007. OBSERVER VARIATION IN THE ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOME IN TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY. *Neurosurgery* **61**:1, 123-129. [CrossRef]
- 202. Carlos Marquez De La Plata, Andreea Ardelean, Della Koovakkattu, Priya Srinivasan, Anna Miller, Viet Phuong, Caryn Harper, Carol Moore, Anthony Whittemore, Christopher Madden, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia, Michael Devous. 2007. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Diffuse Axonal Injury: Quantitative Assessment of White Matter Lesion Volume. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:4, 591-598. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 203. K. S. Wolff, A. M. Prusa, U. Kotowski, A. Wibmer, M. Sahal, H. Teufelsbauer. 2007. Problems with "out of area evacuations" from areas of unrest. A need for uniform and simple-to-apply outcome predictors. *European Surgery* 39:2, 128-134. [CrossRef]
- 204. Brandon P. Foreman, R Ruth Caesar, Jennifer Parks, Christopher Madden, Larry M. Gentilello, Shahid Shafi, Mary C. Carlile, Caryn R. Harper, Ramon R. Diaz-Arrastia. 2007. Usefulness of the Abbreviated Injury Score and the Injury Severity Score in Comparison to the Glasgow Coma Scale in Predicting Outcome After Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 62:4, 946-950. [CrossRef]
- 205. Bernard Vigué, Catherine Ract, Benjamin Tremey, Nicolas Engrand, Pierre Etienne Leblanc, Anne Decaux, Laurent Martin, Dan Benhamou. 2007. Ultra-rapid management of oral anticoagulant therapy-related surgical intracranial hemorrhage. *Intensive Care Medicine* 33:4, 721-725. [CrossRef]
- 206. Carlos Marquez de la Plata, Margaret Hewlitt, Ana de Oliveira, Anne Hudak, Caryn Harper, Shahid Shafi, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia. 2007. Ethnic Differences in Rehabilitation Placement and Outcome After TBI. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 22:2, 113-121. [CrossRef]
- 207. Tessa Hart, Therese M. O#Neil-Pirozzi, Kelli D. Williams, Lisa J. Rapport, Flora Hammond, Jeffrey Kreutzer. 2007. Racial Differences in Caregiving Patterns, Caregiver Emotional Function, and Sources of Emotional Support Following Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 22:2, 122-131. [CrossRef]
- 208. V WIJNEN, G VANBOXTEL, H EILANDER, B DEGELDER. 2007. Mismatch negativity predicts recovery from the vegetative state. *Clinical Neurophysiology* **118**:3, 597-605. [CrossRef]
- 209. Chi-Cheng Yang, Yong-Kwang Tu, Mau-Sun Hua, Sheng-Jean Huang. 2007. The Association Between the Postconcussion Symptoms and Clinical Outcomes for Patients With Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **62**:3, 657-663. [CrossRef]
- 210. Jose A. Pineda, Stephen B. Lewis, Alex B. Valadka, Linda Papa, H. Julia Hannay, Shelley C. Heaton, Jason A. Demery, Ming Cheng Liu, Jada M. Aikman, Veronica Akle, Gretchen M. Brophy, Joseph J. Tepas III, Kevin K.W. Wang, Claudia S. Robertson, Ronald L. Hayes. 2007. Clinical Significance of #II-Spectrin Breakdown Products in Cerebrospinal Fluid after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:2, 354-366. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]

- 211. Anthony Marmarou, Juan Lu, Isabella Butcher, Gillian S. McHugh, Nino A. Mushkudiani, Gordon D. Murray, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Andrew I.R. Maas. 2007. IMPACT Database of Traumatic Brain Injury: Design And Description. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:2, 239-250. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 212. Martin Rusnak, Ivan Janciak, Marek Majdan, Ingrid Wilbacher, Walter Mauritz. 2007. Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Austria I: Introduction to the study. *Wiener klinische Wochenschrift* 119:1-2, 23-28. [CrossRef]
- 213. Monica S. Vavilala, Saipin Muangman, Pichaya Waitayawinyu, Ceceila Roscigno, Kenneth Jaffe, Pamela Mitchell, Catherine Kirkness, Jerry J. Zimmerman, Richard Ellenbogen, Arthur M. Lam. 2007. Impaired Cerebral Autoregulation in Infants and Young Children Early after Inflicted Traumatic Brain Injury: A Preliminary Report. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 24:1, 87-96. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 214. Juan Carlos Arango-Lasprilla, Mitchell Rosenthal, John DeLuca, David X. Cifu, Robin Hanks, Eugene Komaroff. 2007. Functional Outcomes From Inpatient Rehabilitation After Traumatic Brain Injury: How Do Hispanics Fare?. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 88:1, 11-18. [CrossRef]
- 215. Nancy R Temkin, Gail D Anderson, H Richard Winn, Richard G Ellenbogen, Gavin W Britz, James Schuster, Timothy Lucas, David W Newell, Pamela Nelson Mansfield, Joan E Machamer, Jason Barber, Sureyya S Dikmen. 2007. Magnesium sulfate for neuroprotection after traumatic brain injury: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Neurology* 6:1, 29-38. [CrossRef]
- 216. Olaf L. Cremer, Karel G. M. Moons, Gert W. van Dijk, Peter van Balen, Cor J. Kalkman. 2006. Prognosis Following Severe Head Injury: Development and Validation of a Model for Prediction of Death, Disability, and Functional Recovery. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* **61**:6, 1484-1491. [CrossRef]
- 217. Maja Stulemeijer, Sieberen P. Van Der Werf, Bram Jacobs, Jan Biert, Arie B. Van Vugt, Jolanda M.P. Brauer, Pieter E. Vos. 2006. Impact of Additional Extracranial Injuries on Outcome after Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 23:10, 1561-1569. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 218. Adriana Brondani da Rocha, Rogerio Fett Schneider, Gabriel R. de Freitas, Charles André, Ivana Grivicich, Caroline Zanoni, Aline Fossá, Junia T. Gehrke, Geraldo Pereira Jotz, Mauro Kaufmann, Daniel Simon, Andrea Regner. 2006. Role of serum S100B as a predictive marker of fatal outcome following isolated severe head injury or multitrauma in males. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine* 44:10, 1234-1242. [CrossRef]
- 219. David J. Phillips, Phuong Nguyen, Alexios A. Adamides, Nicole Bye, Jeffrey V. Rosenfeld, Thomas Kossmann, Shirley Vallance, Lynnette Murray, Maria C. Morganti-Kossmann. 2006. Activin A Release into Cerebrospinal Fluid in a Subset of Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 23:9, 1283-1294. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 220. Ricardo Rivera-Fern??ndez, Pedro Navarrete-Navarro, Enrique Fern??ndez-Mondejar, Manuel Rodriguez-Elvira, Francisco Guerrero-L??pez, Guillermo V??zquez-Mata. 2006. Six-year mortality and quality of life in critically ill patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Critical Care Medicine* 34:9, 2317-2324. [CrossRef]
- 221. K NYLEN, L CSAJBOK, M OST, A RASHID, J KARLSSON, K BLENNOW, B NELLGARD, L ROSENGREN. 2006. CSF –Neurofilament correlates with outcome after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neuroscience Letters* **404**:1-2, 132-136. [CrossRef]
- 222. V WIJNEN, M HEUTINK, G BOXTEL, H EILANDER, B GELDER. 2006. Autonomic reactivity to sensory stimulation is related to consciousness level after severe traumatic brain injury. *Clinical Neurophysiology* **117**:8, 1794-1807. [CrossRef]
- 223. Scott E Kasner. 2006. Clinical interpretation and use of stroke scales. The Lancet Neurology 5:7, 603-612. [CrossRef]
- 224. Daniel F. Kelly, David L. McArthur, Harvey Levin, Shana Swimmer, Joshua R. Dusick, Pejman Cohan, Christina Wang, Ronald Swerdloff. 2006. Neurobehavioral and Quality of Life Changes Associated with Growth Hormone Insufficiency after Complicated Mild, Moderate, or Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 23:6, 928-942. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 225. Diane J. Chamberlain. 2006. The experience of surviving traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* **54**:4, 407-417. [CrossRef]
- 226. Joseph T. King, Joel Tsevat, Mark S. Roberts. 2006. The Physical Performance Test and the evaluation of functional status in patients with cerebral aneurysms. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **104**:4, 525-530. [CrossRef]
- 227. Mary Beth Happ, Annette DeVito Dabbs, Judith Tate, Allison Hricik, Judith Erlen. 2006. Exemplars of Mixed Methods Data Combination and Analysis. *Nursing Research* **55**:Supplement 1, S43-S49. [CrossRef]

- 228. K. Nylén, M. Öst, L.Z. Csajbok, I. Nilsson, K. Blennow, B. Nellgård, L. Rosengren. 2006. Increased serum-GFAP in patients with severe traumatic brain injury is related to outcome. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* **240**:1-2, 85-91. [CrossRef]
- 229. Andrew IR Maas, Gordon Murray, Herbert Henney, Nadim Kassem, Valerie Legrand, Miriam Mangelus, Jan-Paul Muizelaar, Nino Stocchetti, Nachshon Knoller. 2006. Efficacy and safety of dexanabinol in severe traumatic brain injury: results of a phase III randomised, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. *The Lancet Neurology* 5:1, 38-45. [CrossRef]
- 230. Michael D. HillSpontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage 45-59. [CrossRef]
- 231. Wayne M. Alves, Lawrence F. MarshallTraumatic Brain Injury 61-79. [CrossRef]
- 232. Andrea Fabbri, Franco Servadei, Giulio Marchesini, Massimo Dente, Tiziana Iervese, Marco Spada, Alberto Vandelli. 2005. Clinical Performance of NICE Recommendations versus NCWFNS Proposal in Patients with Mild Head Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 22:12, 1419-1427. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 233. Lorraine Yurkewicz, Jerry Weaver, M. Ross Bullock, Lawrence F. Marshall. 2005. The Effect of the Selective NMDA Receptor Antagonist Traxoprodil in the Treatment of Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 22:12, 1428-1443. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 234. Anne M. Hudak, R. Ruth Caesar, Alan B. Frol, Kim Krueger, Caryn R. Harper, Nancy R. Temkin, Sureyya S. Dikmen, Mary Carlile, Christopher Madden, Ramon Diaz-Arrastia. 2005. Functional Outcome Scales in Traumatic Brain Injury: A Comparison of the Glasgow Outcome Scale (Extended) and the Functional Status Examination. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 22:11, 1319-1326. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 235. Olaf L. Cremer, Gert W. van Dijk, Erik van Wensen, Geert J. F. Brekelmans, Karel G. M. Moons, Loek P. H. Leenen, Cor J. Kalkman. 2005. Effect of intracranial pressure monitoring and targeted intensive care on functional outcome after severe head injury*. *Critical Care Medicine* 33:10, 2207-2213. [CrossRef]
- 236. Adriana Brondani Da Rocha, Caroline Zanoni, Gabriel R. De Freitas, Charles André, Silvia Himelfarb, Rogerio Fett Schneider, Ivana Grivicich, Leonardo Borges, Gilberto Schwartsmann, Mauro Kaufmann, Andrea Regner. 2005. Serum Hsp70 as an Early Predictor of Fatal Outcome after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Males. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 22:9, 966-977. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 237. Belinda J. Gabbe, Owen D. Williamson, Peter A. Cameron, Adam S. Dowrick. 2005. Choosing Outcome Assessment Instruments for Trauma Registries. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 12:8, 751-758. [CrossRef]
- 238. Joanne G Outtrim, Doris A Chatfield, Christine Conway-Smith, Edna Shephard, Laura Moore, Peter J Hutchinson, John D Pickard, David K Menon. 2005. Variability of SF-36 scores within gose categories. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 25, S560-S560. [CrossRef]
- 239. Stephen R. McCauley, Corwin Boake, Claudia Pedroza, Sharon A. Brown, Harvey S. Levin, Heather S. Goodman, Shirley G. Merritt. 2005. Postconcussional Disorder. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **193**:8, 540-550. [CrossRef]
- 240. Kelly J. Miller, Karen A. Schwab, Deborah L. Warden. 2005. Predictive value of an early Glasgow Outcome Scale score: 15-month score changes. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **103**:2, 239-245. [CrossRef]
- 241. Gordon D. Murray, David Barer, Sung Choi, Helen Fernandes, Barbara Gregson, Kennedy R. Lees, Andrew I.R. Maas, Anthony Marmarou, A. David Mendelow, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Gillian S. Taylor, Graham M. Teasdale, Christopher J. Weir. 2005. Design and Analysis of Phase III Trials with Ordered Outcome Scales: The Concept of the Sliding Dichotomy. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 22:5, 511-517. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 242. K BELL, N TEMKIN, P ESSELMAN, J DOCTOR, C BOMBARDIER, R FRASER, J HOFFMAN, J POWELL, S DIKMEN. 2005. The Effect of a Scheduled Telephone Intervention on Outcome After Moderate to Severe Traumatic Brain Injury: A Randomized Trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **86**:5, 851-856. [CrossRef]
- 243. P David Adelson, John Ragheb, J Paul Muizelaar, Paul Kanev, Douglas Brockmeyer, Sue R. Beers, S Danielle Brown, Laura D. Cassidy, Yuefang Chang, Harvey Levin. 2005. Phase II Clinical Trial of Moderate Hypothermia after Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in Children. *Neurosurgery* 56:4, 740-754. [CrossRef]
- 244. Mark Parkin, Sarah Hopwood, Deborah A Jones, Parastoo Hashemi, Hans Landolt, Martin Fabricius, Martin Lauritzen, Martyn G Boutelle, Anthony J Strong. 2005. Dynamic changes in brain glucose and lactate in pericontusional areas of the human cerebral cortex, monitored with rapid sampling on-line microdialysis: relationship with depolarisation-like events. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 25:3, 402-413. [CrossRef]

- 245. Stephan A. Mayer, Nikolai C. Brun, Kamilla Begtrup, Joseph Broderick, Stephen Davis, Michael N. Diringer, Brett E. Skolnick, Thorsten Steiner. 2005. Recombinant Activated Factor VII for Acute Intracerebral Hemorrhage. *New England Journal of Medicine* **352**:8, 777-785. [CrossRef]
- 246. O. Norb#ck, G. G#l, M. Johansson, S. Solander, M. Tovi, L. Persson, E. Ronne-Engstr#m, P. Enblad. 2005. The establishment of endovascular aneurysm coiling at a neurovascular unit: report of experience during early years. *Neuroradiology* 47:2, 144-152. [CrossRef]
- 247. Christopher W. Barton, J. Claude Hemphill, Diane Morabito, Geoffrey Manley. 2005. A Novel Method of Evaluating the Impact of Secondary Brain Insults on Functional Outcomes in Traumatic Brain-injured Patients. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 12:1, 1-6. [CrossRef]
- 248. A David Mendelow, Barbara A Gregson, Helen M Fernandes, Gordon D Murray, Graham M Teasdale, D Terence Hope, Abbas Karimi, M Donald M Shaw, David H Barer. 2005. Early surgery versus initial conservative treatment in patients with spontaneous supratentorial intracerebral haematomas in the International Surgical Trial in Intracerebral Haemorrhage (STICH): a randomised trial. *The Lancet* 365:9457, 387-397. [CrossRef]
- 249. J MALEC. 2004. The Mayo-Portland Participation Index: A brief and psychometrically sound measure of brain injury outcome. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **85**:12, 1989-1996. [CrossRef]
- 250. R. P. Zettl, S. Ruchholtz, U. Lewan, C. Waydhas, D. Nast-Kolb. 2004. Lebensqualit#t polytraumatisierter Patienten 2#Jahre nach Unfall. *Notfall & Rettungsmedizin* **7**:8, 547-553. [CrossRef]
- 251. Hsiao-Ming Wu, Sung-Cheng Huang, Naoya Hattori, Thomas C. Glenn, Paul M. Vespa, David A. Hovda, Marvin Bergsneider. 2004. Subcortical White Matter Metabolic Changes Remote from Focal Hemorrhagic Lesions Suggest Diffuse Injury after Human Traumatic Brain Injury. *Neurosurgery* 1306-1317. [CrossRef]
- 252. Nino Stocchetti, Francesca Pagan, Emiliana Calappi, Katia Canavesi, Luigi Beretta, Giuseppe Citerio, Manuela Cormio, Angelo Colombo. 2004. Inaccurate Early Assessment of Neurological Severity in Head Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 21:9, 1131-1140. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 253. M. Horn, M. K. Morgan, T. Ingebrigtsen. 2004. Surgery for unruptured intracranial aneurysms in a low-volume neurosurgical unit. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* **110**:3, 170-174. [CrossRef]
- 254. Nino Stocchetti, Katia Canavesi, Sandra Magnoni, Valerio Valeriani, Valeria Conte, Sandra Rossi, Luca Longhi, Elisa Roncati Zanier, Angelo Colombo. 2004. Arterio-Jugular Difference of Oxygen Content and Outcome After Head Injury. Anesthesia & Analgesia 99:1, 230-234. [CrossRef]
- 255. B Depreitere. 2004. Bicycle-related head injury: a study of 86 cases. *Accident Analysis & Prevention* **36**:4, 561-567. [CrossRef]
- 256. P FAYOL, H CARRIERE, D HABONIMANA, P PREUX, J DUMOND. 2004. Version française de l'entretien structuré pour l'échelle de devenir de Glasgow (GOS): recommandations et premières études de validation. Annales de Réadaptation et de Médecine Physique 47:4, 142-156. [CrossRef]
- 257. R Tate. 2004. Measuring psychosocial recovery after brain injury: change versus competency. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **85**:4, 538-545. [CrossRef]
- 258. Paul Graham Morris, J. T. Lindsay Wilson, Laurence T. Dunn, James A. R. Nicoll. 2004. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and neuropsychological outcome following subarachnoid haemorrhage. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 109:3, 205-209. [CrossRef]
- 259. Hsiao-Ming Wu, Sung-Cheng Huang, Naoya Hattori, Thomas C. Glenn, Paul M. Vespa, Chin-Lung Yu, David A. Hovda, Michael E. Phelps, Marvin Bergsneider. 2004. Selective Metabolic Reduction in Gray Matter Acutely following Human Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 21:2, 149-161. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 260. Paul Graham Morris, J. T. Lindsay Wilson, Laurence Dunn. 2004. Anxiety and Depression after Spontaneous Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. *Neurosurgery* **54**:1, 47-54. [CrossRef]
- 261. Alexander David MendelowIntracerebral Hemorrhage 1217-1229. [CrossRef]
- 262. Eric A. Schmidt, Marek Czosnyka, Luzius A. Steiner, Marcella Balestreri, Piotr Smielewski, Stefan K. Piechnik, Basil F. Matta, John D. Pickard. 2003. Asymmetry of pressure autoregulation after traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **99**:6, 991-998. [CrossRef]

- 263. Andrew D. Kay, Axel Petzold, Mary Kerr, Geoff Keir, Ed Thompson, James A.R. Nicoll. 2003. Alterations in Cerebrospinal Fluid Apolipoprotein E and Amyloid #-Protein after Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 20:10, 943-952. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 264. Paul M. Vespa, David McArthur, Kristine O'Phelan, Thomas Glenn, Maria Etchepare, Daniel Kelly, Marvin Bergsneider, Neil A. Martin, David A. Hovda. 2003. Persistently Low Extracellular Glucose Correlates With Poor Outcome 6 Months After Human Traumatic Brain Injury Despite a Lack of Increased Lactate: A Microdialysis Study. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 865-877. [CrossRef]
- 265. Nancy R. Temkin, Joan E. Machamer, Sureyya S. Dikmen. 2003. Correlates of Functional Status 3–5 Years after Traumatic Brain Injury with CT Abnormalities. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 20:3, 229-241. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 266. Michelle Gill, Steven M. Green, Baruch Krauss. 2003. Can the Bispectral Index Monitor Quantify Altered Level of Consciousness in Emergency Department Patients?. *Academic Emergency Medicine* **10**:2, 175-179. [CrossRef]
- 267. Henry L. Lew, Sureyya Dikmen, Jefferson Slimp, Nancy Temkin, Eun Ha Lee, David Newell, Lawrence R. Robinson. 2003. Use of Somatosensory-Evoked Potentials and Cognitive Event-Related Potentials in Predicting Outcomes of Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation 82:1, 53-61. [CrossRef]
- 268. Biodun Ogungbo, Barbara Gregson, Alison Blackburn, Jane Barnes, Ramon Vivar, Robin Sengupta, A. David Mendelow. 2003. Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage in young adults. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **98**:1, 43-49. [CrossRef]
- 269. Philip Schatz, Frank G. Hillary, Stephen T. Moelter, Douglas L. Chute. 2002. Retrospective Assessment of Rehabilitation Outcome After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 17:6, 510-525.
 [CrossRef]
- 270. Mark V. Johnston, Carol S. Miklos. 2002. Activity-related quality of life in rehabilitation and traumatic brain injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* **83**, S26-S38. [CrossRef]
- 271. Jan de Gans, Diederik van de Beek. 2002. Dexamethasone in Adults with Bacterial Meningitis. *New England Journal of Medicine* **347**:20, 1549-1556. [CrossRef]
- 272. F Tasseau. 2002. Comment définir les modalités et les niveaux cliniques de passage du coma à l'éveil ?How can we define the different ways of going from coma to arousal and their clinical levels?. *Annales de Réadaptation et de Médecine Physique* **45**:8, 439-447. [CrossRef]
- 273. J.T.L. Wilson, P. Edwards, H. Fiddes, E. Stewart, G.M. Teasdale. 2002. Reliability of Postal Questionnaires for the Glasgow Outcome Scale. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 19:9, 999-1005. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 274. Kristin Elf, Pelle Nilsson, Per Enblad. 2002. Outcome after traumatic brain injury improved by an organized secondary insult program and standardized neurointensive care*. *Critical Care Medicine* **30**:9, 2129-2134. [CrossRef]
- 275. F Zemlan. 2002. C-tau biomarker of neuronal damage in severe brain injured patients: association with elevated intracranial pressure and clinical outcome. *Brain Research* **947**:1, 131-139. [CrossRef]
- 276. M. Ross Bullock, Randall E. Merchant, Sung C. Choi, Charlotte B. Gilman, Jeffrey S. Kreutzer, Anthony Marmarou, Graham M. Teasdale. 2002. Outcome measures for clinical trials in neurotrauma. *Neurosurgical FOCUS* **13**:1, 1-11. [CrossRef]
- 277. Rupert Kett-White, Peter J. Hutchinson, Pippa G. Al-Rawi, Arun K. Gupta, John D. Pickard, Peter J. Kirkpatrick. 2002. Adverse Cerebral Events Detected after Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Using Brain Oxygen and Microdialysis Probes. *Neurosurgery* **50**:6, 1213-1222. [CrossRef]
- 278. Raj K. Narayan, Mary Ellen Michel, Beth Ansell, Alex Baethmann, Anat Biegon, Michael B. Bracken, M. Ross Bullock, Sung C. Choi, Guy L. Clifton, Charles F. Contant, William M. Coplin, W. Dalton Dietrich, Jamshid Ghajar, Sean M. Grady, Robert G. Grossman, Edward D. Hall, William Heetderks, David A. Hovda, Jack Jallo, Russell L. Katz, Nachshon Knoller, Patrick M. Kochanek, Andrew I. Maas, Jeannine Majde, Donald W. Marion, Anthony Marmarou, Lawrence F. Marshall, Tracy K. McIntosh, Emmy Miller, Noel Mohberg, J. Paul Muizelaar, Lawrence H. Pitts, Peter Quinn, Gad Riesenfeld, Claudia S. Robertson, Kenneth I. Strauss, Graham Teasdale, Nancy Temkin, Ronald Tuma, Charles Wade, Michael D. Walker, Michael Weinrich, John Whyte, Jack Wilberger, A. Byron Young, Lorraine Yurkewicz, 2002. Clinical Trials in Head Injury. Journal of Neurotrauma 19:5, 503-557. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]

- 279. Luzius A. Steiner, Marek Czosnyka, Stefan K. Piechnik, Piotr Smielewski, Doris Chatfield, David K. Menon, John D. Pickard. 2002. Continuous monitoring of cerebrovascular pressure reactivity allows determination of optimal cerebral perfusion pressure in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Critical Care Medicine* **30**:4, 733-738. [CrossRef]
- 280. Sung C. Choi, Guy L. Clifton, Anthony Marmarou, Emmy R. Miller. 2002. Misclassification and Treatment Effect on Primary Outcome Measures in Clinical Trials of Severe Neurotrauma. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 19:1, 17-22. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 281. Maria Mataró, Maria Antonia Poca, Juan Sahuquillo, Salvador Pedraza, Mar Ariza, Sonia Amorós, Carme Junqué. 2001. Neuropsychological Outcome in Relation to the Traumatic Coma Data Bank Classification of Computed Tomography Imaging. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 18:9, 869-879. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 282. Tõnu Rätsep, Toomas Asser. 2001. Cerebral hemodynamic impairment after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage as evaluated using transcranial Doppler ultrasonography: relationship to delayed cerebral ischemia and clinical outcome. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **95**:3, 393-401. [CrossRef]
- 283. Matthew R. Garnett, Andrew M. Blamire, Robin G. Corkill, Bheeshma Rajagopalan, John D. Young, Thomas A. D. Cadoux-Hudson, Peter Styles. 2001. Abnormal Cerebral Blood Volume in Regions of Contused and Normal Appearing Brain Following Traumatic Brain Injury Using Perfusion Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 18:6, 585-593. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF] with Links]
- 284. Harvey S. Levin, Corwin Boake, James Song, Stephen McCauley, Charles Contant, Pedro Diaz-Marchan, Susan Brundage, Heather Goodman, Kathryn J. Kotrla. 2001. Validity and Sensitivity to Change of the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale in Mild to Moderate Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 18:6, 575-584. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 285. Charlene M. T. Robertson, Joe M. Watt, Ari R. Joffe, Deirdre B. Murphy, Julianna M. Nagy, Deirdre E. McLean, Kerrie S. Pain, L. Duncan Saunders. 2001. Childhood morbidity after severe traumatic brain injury: Increased detection with the Multiattribute Health Status Classification. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine* 2:2, 145-150. [CrossRef]
- 286. Sharon A. Brown, Stephen R. McCauley, Harvey S. Levin, Corwin Boake, Peggy R. Goldfader, Steven D. McCormick, Daniel Rockers, Meryl Butters, David A. Kareken, David Gostnell, Guy L. Clifton. 2001. Factor Analysis of an Outcome Interview for Use in Clinical Trials of Traumatically Brain-Injured Patients. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 80:3, 196-205. [CrossRef]
- 287. Sureyya Dikmen, Joan Machamer, Bonnie Miller, Jason Doctor, Nancy Temkin. 2001. Functional Status Examination: A New Instrument for Assessing Outcome in Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 18:2, 127-140. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]
- 288. S Finfer. 2001. Severe traumatic brain injury. Resuscitation 48:1, 77-90. [CrossRef]
- 289. Andrew H Kaye, David Andrewes. 2000. Glasgow Outcome Scale: research scale or blunt instrument?. *The Lancet* **356**:9241, 1540-1541. [CrossRef]
- 290. Andrew I. Maas. 2000. Assessment of Agents for the Treatment of Head Injury. CNS Drugs 13:2, 139-154. [CrossRef]
- 291. Andrew I.R. Maas, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Gordon D. Murray, Ross Bullock, Alexander Baethmann, Lawrence F. Marshall, Graham M. Teasdale. 1999. Why Have Recent Trials of Neuroprotective Agents in Head Injury Failed to Show Convincing Efficacy? A Pragmatic Analysis and Theoretical Considerations. *Neurosurgery* 44:6, 1286-1298. [CrossRef]
- 292. Laura E. L. Pettigrew, J. T. Lindsay Wilson, Graham M. Teasdale. 1998. Assessing disability after head injury: improved use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. *Journal of Neurosurgery* **89**:6, 939-943. [CrossRef]
- 293. GRAHAM M. TEASDALE, LAURA E.L. PETTIGREW, J.T. LINDSAY WILSON, GORDON MURRAY, BRYAN JENNETT. 1998. Analyzing Outcome of Treatment of Severe Head Injury: A Review and Update on Advancing the Use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 15:8, 587-597. [Abstract] [Full Text PDF] [Full Text PDF with Links]